Agenda Item 4.2.3 AUASB Meeting 106 Clean version

EXPOSURE DRAFT

ED 01/19 (March 2019)

Proposed Auditing Standard ASQM 1 *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements*

Issued for Comment by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board



Australian Government Auditing and Assurance Standards Board

Commenting on this Exposure Draft

Comments on this Exposure Draft should be received by no later than 27 May 2019. Comments should be addressed to:

The Chair Auditing and Assurance Standards Board PO Box 204, Collins Street West Melbourne Victoria 8007 AUSTRALIA

Formal Submissions

Submissions should be lodged online via the "Work in Progress-Open for Comment" page of the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) website (<u>www.auasb.gov.au/Work-In-Progress/Open-for-comment.aspx</u>) as a PDF document and Word document.

A copy of all non-confidential submissions will be placed on public record on the AUASB website: www.auasb.gov.au

Obtaining a Copy of this Exposure Draft

This Exposure Draft is available on the AUASB website: www.auasb.gov.au

Contact Details

Auditing and Assurance Standards Board Podium Level 14, 530 Collins Street Melbourne Victoria 3000 AUSTRALIA Phone: (03) 8080 7400 E-mail: enquiries@auasb.gov.au

Postal Address: PO Box 204, Collins Street West Melbourne Victoria 8007 AUSTRALIA

COPYRIGHT

© 2019 Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB). The text, graphics and layout of this Exposure Draft are protected by Australian copyright law and the comparable law of other countries. Reproduction within Australia in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use subject to the inclusion of an acknowledgment of the source as being the AUASB.

Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights for commercial purposes within Australia should be addressed to the Technical Director, Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, PO Box 204, Collins Street West, Melbourne, Victoria 8007 or sent to <u>enquiries@auasb.gov.au</u>. Otherwise, no part of this Exposure Draft may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the AUASB except as permitted by law.

This Exposure Draft reproduces substantial parts of the corresponding International Standard on Quality Control issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) and published by the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), in the manner described in the statement on Conformity with International Standards on Quality Control. The AUASB acknowledges that IFAC is the owner of copyright in the International Standard on Quality Control incorporated in this Exposure Draft throughout the world.

All existing rights in this material are reserved outside Australia. Reproduction outside Australia in unaltered form (retaining this notice) is permitted for personal and non-commercial use only.

Further information and requests for authorisation to reproduce this Exposure Draft for commercial purposes outside Australia should be addressed to the Technical Director, Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, PO Box 204, Collins Street West, Melbourne, Victoria 8007 or sent to <u>enquiries@auasb.gov.au</u>. Any decision to approve a request may also require the agreement of IFAC.

ISSN 1030-603X

CONTENTS

PREFACE

AUTHORITY STATEMENT

CONFORMITY WITH INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS ON QUALITY CONTROL

	Paragraphs
Application	Aus 0.1
Operative Date	
Introduction	
Scope of this Auditing Standard	1-6
The Firm's System of Quality Management	7-15
Authority of this ASQM	16
Effective Date	17
Objective	
Definitions	19
Requirements	
Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements	20-21
System of Quality Management	22
Governance and Leadership	23-25
The Firm's Risk Assessment Process	
Relevant Ethical Requirements	
Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements	
Engagement Performance	
Resources	
Information and Communication	40-41
Monitoring and Remediation Process	
Network Requirements or Network Services	
Service Providers	64-65
Documentation	66-69
Application and Other Explanatory Material	
Scope of this ASQM	A1
The Firm's System of Quality Management	A2-A5
Authority of this ASQM	A6-A9
Definitions	A10-A18
Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements	A19-A20
System of Quality Management	A21-A24
Governance and Leadership	A25-A47

- 3 -

EXPOSURE DRAFT

Proposed Auditing Standard ASQM 1 Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

The Firm's Risk Assessment Process	A48-A66
Relevant Ethical Requirements	A67-A75
Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements	A76-A90
Engagement Performance	A91-A112
Resources	A113-A134
Information and Communication	A135-A153
Monitoring and Remediation Process	A154-A191
Network Requirements or Network Services	A192-A204
Service Providers	A205-A210
Documentation	A211-A214

Appendix 1: The Components of a System of Quality Management

PREFACE

Reasons for Issuing ED 01/19

The AUASB issues exposure draft ED 01/19 of proposed Auditing Standard ASQM 1 *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements* pursuant to the requirements of the legislative provisions and the Strategic Direction explained below.

The AUASB is a non corporate Commonwealth entity of the Australian Government established under section 227A of the *Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001*, as amended (ASIC Act). Under section 336 of the *Corporations Act 2001*, the AUASB may make Auditing Standards for the purposes of the corporations legislation. These Auditing Standards are legislative instruments under the *Legislation Act 2003*.

Under the Strategic Direction given to the AUASB by the Financial Reporting Council (FRC), the AUASB is required, inter alia, to develop auditing standards that have a clear public interest focus and are of the highest quality.

Main Proposals

This proposed Auditing Standard represents the Australian equivalent of the IAASB's Exposure Draft ISQM 1 *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements* (comments due 1 July 2019) and will replace the current ASQC 1 issued by the AUASB in January 2010.

This proposed Auditing Standard contains differences from the current ASQC 1, which are detailed in the <u>Explanatory Memorandum</u> located in the front of the Proposed International Standard on Quality Management 1 (ISQM 1).

Proposed Effective Date

Systems of quality management in compliance with this Auditing Standard are required to be established by [date]^{*}.

Main changes from existing ASQC 1 Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements (January 2010)

The main differences between this proposed Auditing Standard and the Auditing Standard that it supersedes, ASQC 1 *Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements* (January 2010), are included in the Explanatory Memorandum located in the front of the Proposed International Standard on Quality Management 1 (ISQM 1).

The main changes from existing ASQC 1 include:

• A new proactive risk-based approach to firms' systems of quality management

The IAASB is proposing an effective date 18 months following the approval of the standards by the Public Interest Oversight Board (PIOB). Typically the PIOB approves the standards one quarter after the approval of the standards by the IAASB. The AUASB intends to align the operative date with that of the final ISQM 1.

- Modernising the standard for an evolving and increasingly complex environment, including addressing the impact of technology, networks, and use of external service providers
- Increasing firm leadership responsibilities and accountability, and improving firm governance
- More rigorous monitoring of quality management systems and remediation of deficiencies

Request for Comments

Comments are invited on this Exposure Draft of the proposed re-issuance of ASQC 1 *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements* by no later than 27 May 2019. The AUASB is seeking comments from respondents on the following questions:

Questions Extracted from the International IAASB's Explanatory Memorandum

Overall Questions

- 1. Does ED-ASQM 1 substantively enhance firms' management of engagement quality, and at the same time improve the scalability of the standard? In particular:
 - (a) Do you support the new quality management approach? If not, what specific attributes of this approach do you not support and why?
 - (b) In your view, will the proposals generate benefits for engagement quality as intended, including supporting the appropriate exercise of professional scepticism at the engagement level? If not, what further actions should the IAASB take to improve the standard?
 - (c) Are the requirements and application material of proposed ED-ASQM 1 scalable such that they can be applied by firms of varying size, complexity and circumstances? If not, what further actions should the IAASB take to improve the scalability of the standard?
- 2. Are there any aspects of the standard that may create challenges for implementation? If so, are there particular enhancements to the standard or support materials that would assist in addressing these challenges?
- 3. Is the application material in ED-ASQM 1 helpful in supporting a consistent understanding of the requirements? Are there areas where additional examples or explanations would be helpful or where the application material could be reduced?

Specific Questions

- 4. Do you support the eight components and the structure of ED-ASQM 1?
- 5. Do you support the objective of the standard, which includes the objective of the system of quality management? Furthermore, do you agree with how the standard explains the firm's role relating to the public interest and is it clear how achieving the objective of the standard relates to the firm's public interest role?
- 6. Do you believe that application of a risk assessment process will drive firms to establish appropriate quality objectives, quality risks and responses, such that the objective of the standard is achieved? In particular:
 - (a) Do you agree that the firm's risk assessment process should be applied to the other components of the system of quality management?
 - (b) Do you support the approach for establishing quality objectives? In particular:

- (i) Are the required quality objectives appropriate?
- (ii) Is it clear that the firm is expected to establish additional quality objectives beyond those required by the standard in certain circumstances?
- (c) Do you support the process for the identification and assessment of quality risks?
- (d) Do you support the approach that requires the firm to design and implement responses to address the assessed quality risks? In particular:
 - (i) Do you believe that this approach will result in a firm designing and implementing responses that are tailored to and appropriately address the assessed quality risks?
 - (ii) Is it clear that in all circumstances the firm is expected to design and implement responses in addition to those required by the standard?
- 7. Do the revisions to the standard appropriately address firm governance and the responsibilities of firm leadership? If not, what further enhancements are needed?
- 8. With respect to matters regarding relevant ethical requirements:
 - (a) Should ED-ASQM 1 require firms to assign responsibility for relevant ethical requirements to an individual in the firm? If so, should the firm also be required to assign responsibility for compliance with independence requirements to an individual?
 - (b) Does the standard appropriately address the responsibilities of the firm regarding the independence of other firms or persons within the network?
- 9. Has ED-ASQM 1 been appropriately modernised to address the use of technology by firms in the system of quality management?
- 10. Do the requirements for communication with external parties promote the exchange of valuable and insightful information about the firm's system of quality management with the firm's stakeholders? In particular, will the proposals encourage firms to communicate, via a transparency report or otherwise, when it is appropriate to do so?
- 11. Do you agree with the proposals addressing the scope of engagements that should be subject to an engagement quality review? In your view, will the requirements result in the proper identification of engagements to be subject to an engagement quality review?
- 12. In your view, will the proposals for monitoring and remediation improve the robustness of firms' monitoring and remediation? In particular:
 - (a) Will the proposals improve firms' monitoring of the system of quality management as a whole and promote more proactive and effective monitoring activities, including encouraging the development of innovative monitoring techniques?
 - (b) Do you agree with the IAASB's conclusion to retain the requirement for the inspection of completed engagements for each engagement partner on a cyclical basis, with enhancements to improve the flexibility of the requirement and the focus on other types of reviews?
 - (c) Is the framework for evaluating findings and identifying deficiencies clear and do you support the definition of deficiencies?
 - (d) Do you agree with the new requirement for the firm to investigate the root cause of deficiencies? In particular:

- (i) Is the nature, timing and extent of the procedures to investigate the root cause sufficiently flexible?
- (ii) Is the manner in which ED-ASQM 1 addresses positive findings, including addressing the root cause of positive findings, appropriate?
- (e) Are there any challenges that may arise in fulfilling the requirement for the individual assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management to evaluate at least annually whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives of the system have been achieved?
- 13. Do you support the proposals addressing networks? Will the proposals appropriately address the issue of firms placing undue reliance on network requirements or network services?
- 14. Do you support the proposals addressing service providers?
- 15. With respect to national standard setters and regulators, will the change in title to "ASQM" create significant difficulties in adopting the standard at a jurisdictional level?

Australian Specific questions

- 1. Have applicable laws and regulations been appropriately addressed in the proposed standard?
- 2. Are there any references to relevant laws or regulations that have been omitted?
- 3. Are there any laws or regulations that may, or do, prevent or impede the application of the proposed standard, or may conflict with the proposed standard?
- 4. What, if any, are the additional significant costs to/benefits for auditors and the business community arising from compliance with the main changes to the requirements of this proposed standard? If there are significant costs, do these outweigh the benefits to the users of audit services?
- 5. Are there any other significant public interest matters that constituents wish to raise?

The AUASB prefers that respondents express a clear opinion on whether the proposed Auditing Standard, as a whole, is supported and that this opinion be supplemented by detailed comments, whether supportive or critical, on the above matters. The AUASB regards both supportive and critical comments as essential to a balanced review of the proposed Auditing Standard.

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

AUTHORITY STATEMENT

The Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) makes this Auditing Standard ASQM 1 Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements pursuant to section 227B of the Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001 and section 336 of the Corporations Act 2001.

This Auditing Standard is to be read in conjunction with ASA 101 *Preamble to Australian Auditing Standards*, which sets out the intentions of the AUASB on how the Australian Auditing Standards, operative for financial reporting periods commencing on or after 1 January 2010, are to be understood, interpreted and applied.

Conformity with International Standards on Quality Control

This Auditing Standard conforms with International Standard on Quality Control ISQM 1 *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements* issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB), an independent standard-setting board of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC).

Paragraphs that are expected to be added/deleted/amended to this Auditing Standard are identified with the prefix "Aus". These paragraphs have been marked as a placeholder and are subject to AUASB deliberations on 'Compelling Reasons Test' in the Principles of Convergence with the IAASB Standards. Refer <u>here</u> for an outline of the Compelling Reason Test.

Table	of .	Amendments
-------	------	------------

Paragraph or Section impacted	Basis for amendment
1	The paragraph is to be considered in the context of Relevant Ethical Requirements as defined in ASA 102.
Definitions	 Definitions may be added, deleted or amended based on the following: ASQM 1 is a legislative instrument and accordingly some definitions are required to be included within a legislative instrument; Terms are not appropriate in the Australian context; Relevant Ethical Requirements are defined in ASA 102 (no such international equivalent); and Australian legal or regulatory requirements
3	Relevant Ethical Requirements are defined in ASA 102 (no such international equivalent)
32(a)	This paragraph is to be considered in the context of Australian legal or regulatory requirements
33(d)	This paragraph is to be considered in the context of Australian legal or regulatory requirements
A2, A14- A16, A45, A67, A68, A70, A72, A74, A82, A86, A90	These paragraphs are to be considered in the context of Relevant Ethical Requirements as defined in ASA 102.
A97	This paragraph is to be considered in the context of the example not being applicable in Australia
A111	This paragraph is to be considered in the context of Australian legal or regulatory requirements
A171, A213	These paragraphs are to be considered in the context of Relevant Ethical Requirements as defined in ASA 102.

This Auditing Standard incorporates terminology and definitions used in Australia.

The equivalent requirements and related application and other explanatory material included in ISQM 1 in respect of "relevant ethical requirements", have been included in Auditing Standard, ASA 102 *Compliance with Ethical Requirements when Performing Audits, Reviews and Other Assurance Engagements.* There is no international equivalent to ASA 102.

Compliance with this Auditing Standard enables compliance with ISQM 1.

AUDITING STANDARD ASQM 1

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial **Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements**

Application

Aus 0.1 This Auditing Standard applies to a firm that performs:

- an audit of a financial report for a financial year, or an audit or review of a (a) financial report for a half-year, in accordance with the Corporations Act 2001;
- an audit or review of a financial report, or a complete set of financial (b) statements, for any other purpose;
- (c) an audit or review of other historical financial information;
- an audit or review other than of historical financial information; (d)
- other assurance engagements; and (e)
- related services engagements. (f)

Operative Date

Aus 0.2 Systems of quality management in compliance with this Auditing Standard are required to be established by [date]^{*}

Introduction

Scope of this Auditing Standard

- The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification in the extant ASQC 1 made to reflect Aus Australian laws and regulations is still applicable to the below paragraph. See Table 1 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information
- 1. This Australian Standard on Quality Management (ASQM) deals with a firm's responsibilities to design, implement and operate a system of quality management for audits or reviews of financial reports, and other financial information, other assurance or related services engagements. ASQM 2^1 deals with the responsibility of the firm and engagement quality reviewers relating to engagement quality reviews. This ASQM is to be read in conjunction with relevant ethical requirements.
- 2. Other pronouncements of the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) include requirements for engagement partners and other personnel regarding quality management at the engagement level. ASA 220,² for example, deals with the specific responsibilities of the auditor regarding quality management at the engagement level for an audit of a financial report and the related responsibilities of the engagement partner. (Ref: Para. A1)

The IAASB is proposing an effective date 18 months following the approval of the standards by the Public Interest Oversight B oard (PIOB). Typically the PIOB approves the standards one quarter after the approval of the standards by the IAASB. The AUASB intends Proposed ASA 220, Quality Management for an Audit of a Financial Report

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- 3. Law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements may establish responsibilities for the firm's management of quality beyond those described in this ASQM.
- 4. This ASQM applies to all firms performing audits or reviews of a financial report, other financial information, other assurance or related services engagements (i.e., if the firm performs any of these engagements, this ASQM applies).

Scalability

- 5. This ASQM requires the firm to apply a risk-based approach in the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management, taking into account:
 - (a) The nature and circumstances of the firm, including whether it is part of a network or uses service providers; and (Ref: Para. A22)
 - (b) The nature and circumstances of the engagements performed by the firm, including the types of engagements performed by the firm and the types of entities for which such engagements are performed. (Ref: Para. A23)

Accordingly, the complexity and formality of firms' systems of quality management will vary. For example, a firm that performs different types of engagements for a wide variety of entities, including audits of financial reports of listed entities or entities that are of significant public interest, will likely need to have a more complex and more formal system of quality management than a firm that performs only reviews of financial reports or compilation engagements.

6. The nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements may change over time. This ASQM requires the firm to identify such changes and respond appropriately.

The Firm's System of Quality Management

- 7. The purpose of a system of quality management is to support the consistent performance of quality engagements, by providing the firm with reasonable assurance that the objectives of the system, stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b), are achieved. The public interest is served by the consistent performance of quality engagements. Quality engagements are achieved through planning and performing engagements and reporting on them in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements. Achieving the objectives of those standards and complying with the requirements of applicable law or regulation involves exercising professional judgement and, when applicable to the type of engagement, exercising professional scepticism. (Ref: Para. A2–A4)
- 8. This ASQM requires professional judgement to be exercised in designing, implementing and operating the firm's system of quality management. A system of quality management is a continual and iterative process and is responsive to changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements. It also does not operate in a linear manner. However, for the purposes of this ASQM, a system of quality management addresses the following eight components, which are highly integrated: (Ref: Para. A4–A5)
 - (a) Governance and leadership;
 - (b) The firm's risk assessment process;
 - (c) Relevant ethical requirements;
 - (d) Acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements;

- (e) Engagement performance;
- (f) Resources;
- (g) Information and communication; and
- (h) The monitoring and remediation process.

A further description of each of the eight components and their interrelationships is included in Appendix 1.

- 9. The firm's governance and leadership component establishes the environment in which the system of quality management operates because this component addresses the firm's culture, decision-making process, actions, organisational structure and leadership. This standard requires that the firm's leadership demonstrate a commitment to quality through their actions and behaviours and establish the expected behaviour of personnel within the firm.
- 10. In taking a risk-based approach to quality management, the firm applies the firm's risk assessment process to the other components. The firm's risk assessment process consists of:
 - (a) Establishing quality objectives. The quality objectives established by the firm consist of objectives that, when achieved by the firm, collectively provide the firm with reasonable assurance that the objectives of the system of quality management, stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b), are achieved. The firm is required to establish the quality objectives set out in this ASQM and additional quality objectives beyond those required by this ASQM, when those objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this ASQM.
 - (b) Identifying and assessing risks to the achievement of the firm's quality objectives (referred to in this standard as quality risks). The firm is required to identify and assess quality risks to provide a basis for designing and implementing responses.
 - (c) Designing and implementing responses to address the assessed quality risks. The nature, timing and extent of the firm's responses to address the assessed quality risks will be based on, and responsive to, the reasons for the assessments given to the quality risks. The firm is required to include the responses required by this ASQM, which are organised by component, in its responses to its assessed quality risks. The responses required by this ASQM are relevant to every firm's system of quality management, and are therefore applicable to all firms. However, the responses required by this ASQM alone will not be sufficient to address all of the firm's assessed quality risks for the quality objectives that are required to be established by this ASQM.
- 11. This ASQM includes components that address specific topics that are fundamental for the performance of audits or reviews of financial reports andother financial information, other assurance or related services engagements (i.e., relevant ethical requirements, acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements, and engagement performance). In addition, it includes components for resources and information and communication, which are necessary to enable the operation of all the other components of the system of quality management.
- 12. This ASQM requires the firm to evaluate the design, implementation and operation of its system of quality management through a monitoring and remediation process, which involves:
 - (a) Designing and performing monitoring activities and evaluating the findings from such activities, the results of external inspections and other relevant information to determine whether deficiencies exist in the system of quality management;

- (b) Investigating the root cause(s) of the identified deficiencies and evaluating the severity and pervasiveness of the identified deficiencies; and
- (c) Remediating the identified deficiencies.

The findings arising from the monitoring may also highlight positive practices that the firm uses to enhance its system of quality management. The monitoring and remediation process provides information that is the basis for the evaluation of whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.

13. All of the components of the system of quality management operating together enable the consistent performance of quality engagements and contribute to the firm achieving the objective of this ASQM. Accordingly, other pronouncements of the AUASB, such as ASA 220,³ are premised on the basis that the firm is subject to the ASQMs or to national requirements that are at least as demanding.

Networks

14. In some circumstances, the firm may belong to a network. This ASQM includes requirements for firms that operate as part of a network, in recognition that networks may establish requirements regarding the firm's system of quality management or may make services or resources available that the firm may choose to implement or use in the design, implementation and operation of its system of quality management. Network requirements or network services are further described in paragraph 58 of this ASQM. Such requirements or services may be intended to promote the consistent performance of quality engagements across the firms that operate as part of the network. Notwithstanding the firm's compliance with the network requirements or use of the network services, the firm remains responsible for its system of quality management.

Service Providers

15. This ASQM also includes requirements for circumstances when the firm intends to obtain or use resources provided by a service provider in its system of quality management.

Authority of this ASQM

16. This ASQM contains the objective of the firm in following this ASQM, and requirements designed to enable the firm to meet that stated objective. In addition, it contains related guidance in the form of application and other explanatory material and introductory material that provides context relevant to a proper understanding of this ASQM, and definitions. (Ref: Para. A6–A9)

Effective Date

17. [Deleted by the AUASB. Refer Aus 0.2]

Objective(s)

18. The objective of the firm is to design, implement and operate a system of quality management for audits or reviews of financial reports, and other financial information, other assurance or related services engagements performed by the firm, that provides the firm with reasonable assurance that:

³ Proposed ASA 220, paragraph 3

- (a) The firm and its personnel fulfill their responsibilities in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, and conduct engagements in accordance with such standards and requirements; and
- (b) Engagement reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

Definitions

- Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification in the extant ASQC 1 made to reflect Australian laws and regulations or principles and practices is still applicable to the below paragraph. See Table 1 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information
- 19. For the purposes of this Auditing Standard, the following terms have the meanings attributed below:
 - (a) Deficiency in the firm's system of quality management (referred to as "deficiency" in this ASQM) This exists when:
 - (i) A quality objective required to achieve the objective of this ASQM is not established;
 - (ii) A quality risk has not been appropriately identified or assessed, such that a response that addresses that risk has not been appropriately designed or implemented; or
 - (iii) A response to address an assessed quality risk is not properly designed, implemented or operating effectively. (Ref: Para. A10)
 - (b) Engagement documentation The record of work performed, results obtained, and conclusions the practitioner reached (terms such as "working papers" or "work papers" are sometimes used).
 - (c) Engagement partner4 The partner or other individual, appointed by the firm, who is responsible for the engagement and its performance, and for the report that is issued on behalf of the firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body.
 - (d) Engagement quality review An objective evaluation of the significant judgements made by the engagement team and the conclusions reached thereon, performed by the engagement quality reviewer and completed on or before the date of the engagement report.
 - (e) Engagement quality reviewer A partner, other individual in the firm, or an external individual appointed by the firm to perform the engagement quality review.
 - (f) Engagement team All partners and staff performing the engagement, and any other individuals who perform procedures on the engagement, including individuals engaged by the firm or a network firm. The engagement team excludes an external expert engaged by the firm or by a network firm, and also excludes individuals within the client's internal audit function who provide direct assistance

⁴ "Engagement partner" and "partner" should be read as referring to their public sector equivalents where relevant.

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

on an audit engagement when the external auditor complies with the requirements of ASA 610.5

- (g) External inspections Inspections or investigations undertaken by an external oversight authority related to the firm's system of quality management or engagements performed by the firm. (Ref: Para. A11)
- (h) Firm A sole practitioner, partnership or corporation or other entity of professional accountants, or public sector equivalent. (Ref: Para. A12)
- (i) Listed entity An entity whose shares, stock or debt are quoted or listed on a recognised stock exchange, or are marketed under the regulations of a recognised stock exchange or other equivalent body.
- (j) Network firm A firm or entity that belongs to a network.
- (k) Network6 A larger structure: (Ref: Para. A13–A14)
 - (i) That is aimed at cooperation, and
 - (ii) That is clearly aimed at profit or cost-sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality management policies or procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common brand name, or a significant part of professional resources.
- (1) Partner Any individual with authority to bind the firm with respect to the performance of a professional services engagement.
- (m) Personnel Partners and staff.
- (n) Professional judgement The application of relevant training, knowledge and experience, within the context of professional standards, in making informed decisions about the courses of action that are appropriate in the design, implementation and operation of the firm's system of quality management.
- (o) Professional standards AUASB Engagement Standards, as defined in the AUASB's Preface to the Australian Quality Management, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance and Related Services Pronouncements, and relevant ethical requirements.
- (p) Quality objectives The objectives that, when achieved by the firm, collectively provide the firm with reasonable assurance that the objectives of the system of quality management are achieved.
- (q) Quality risks Risks arising from conditions, events, circumstances, actions or inactions that may adversely affect the achievement of a quality objective(s).
- (r) Reasonable assurance In the context of the ASQMs, a high, but not absolute, level of assurance.
- (s) Relevant ethical requirements Principles of professional ethics and ethical requirements that are applicable to professional accountants when undertaking engagements that are audits or reviews of financial reports and other financial information, other assurance or related services engagements. Relevant ethical

⁵ ASA 610, Using the Work of Internal Auditors, establishes limits on the use of direct assistance. It also acknowledges that the external auditor may be prohibited by law or regulation from obtaining direct assistance from internal auditors. Therefore, the use of direct assistances is restricted to situations where it is permitted.

assistances is restricted to situations where it is permitted.
 As defined in the Accounting and Professional I Ethics Standards Board's *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards)* (APESB Code)

requirements ordinarily comprise the provisions of the APESB Code related to audits or reviews of financial reports and other financial information, other assurance or related services engagements, together with national requirements that are more restrictive. (Ref: Para. A15–A16, A67)

- (t) Response (in relation to a system of quality management) Policies or procedures designed and implemented by the firm to address an assessed quality risk: (Ref: Para. A17–A18, A62)
 - (i) Policies are statements of what should, or should not, be done to address an assessed quality risk. Such statements may be documented, explicitly stated in communications or implied through actions and decisions.
 - (ii) Procedures are actions to implement policies.
- (u) Staff Professionals, other than partners, including any experts the firm employs.
- (v) System of quality management A system designed, implemented and operated by a firm to provide reasonable assurance that:
 - (i) The firm and its personnel fulfill their responsibilities in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, and conduct engagements in accordance with such standards and requirements; and
 - (ii) Engagement reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

Requirements

Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements

- 20. The individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability, and the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility, for the firm's system of quality management shall have an understanding of this ASQM relevant to their responsibilities, including the application and other explanatory material, to understand the objective of this ASQM and to apply its requirements properly. (Ref: Para. A19)
- 21. The firm shall comply with each requirement of this ASQM unless the requirement is not relevant to the firm because of the nature and circumstances of the firm or its engagements. (Ref: Para. A20)

System of Quality Management

22. The firm shall design, implement and operate a system of quality management that complies with the requirements of this ASQM. The requirements are designed to enable the firm to achieve the objective stated in this ASQM. The proper application of the requirements in this ASQM is expected to provide a sufficient basis for the achievement of the objective of this standard. In applying the requirements of this ASQM, the firm shall exercise professional judgement, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements, such that the objective of this ASQM is achieved. (Ref: Para. A21–A24)

Governance and Leadership

23. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address the aspects of the firm's environment that support the design, implementation and operation of the other components of the system of quality management, including the firm's culture, decision-making process, actions, organisational structure and leadership:

- (a) The firm's culture promotes a commitment to quality, including recognising and reinforcing the importance of professional ethics, values and attitudes throughout the firm and emphasising the responsibility of all personnel for quality relating to the performance of engagements or activities within the system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A26–A28)
- (b) The firm has leadership who is responsible and accountable for quality. (Ref: Para. A36)
- (c) The firm's strategic decisions and actions, including financial and operational priorities, demonstrate a commitment to quality and to the firm's role in serving the public interest, by consistently performing quality engagements. (Ref: Para. A29–A30)
- (d) The firm has an organisational structure with appropriate assignment of roles, responsibilities and authority that supports the firm's commitment to quality and the design, implementation and operation of the firm's system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A31–A32)
- (e) The firm plans for its resource needs, including financial resources, and obtains, allocates or assigns resources in a manner that supports the firm's commitment to quality and enables the design, implementation and operation of the firm's system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A33–A35)
- (f) The firm fulfills its responsibilities in accordance with law, regulation and professional standards that relate to the governance and leadership of the firm, if applicable. (Ref: Para. A25)
- 24. In designing and implementing responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the governance and leadership quality objectives, the firm shall include the following responses:
 - (a) Assigning ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management to the firm's chief executive officer or the firm's managing partner (or equivalent) or, if appropriate, the firm's managing board of partners (or equivalent). The individual(s) to whom such responsibility and accountability is assigned shall: (Ref: Para. A36)
 - (i) Have the appropriate experience and knowledge to fulfill the assigned responsibility.
 - (ii) Demonstrate a commitment to quality through their actions and behaviours, including recognising and reinforcing the importance of professional ethics, values and attitudes, and establishing the expected behaviour of personnel relating to the performance of engagements and activities within the system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A26–A28)
 - (iii) Establish structures, reporting lines, and appropriate authorities and responsibilities, including assigning operational responsibility for the following matters to personnel who fulfill the requirements in paragraph 25: (Ref: Para. A37–A39)
 - a. The system of quality management as a whole; and
 - b. Specific aspects of the system of quality management, as appropriate to the nature and circumstances of the firm, which shall include operational responsibility for compliance with independence requirements and the monitoring and remediation process.

- (b) Establishing policies or procedures for periodic performance evaluations of the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the firm's system of quality management, and the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for the matters set out in paragraph 24(a)(iii), in order to hold individuals accountable for the responsibilities assigned to them. (Ref: Para. A40–A43)
- (c) Establishing policies or procedures for dealing with complaints and allegations about the commitment to quality of the firm or its personnel, including clearly defining channels within the firm that enable reporting by personnel or external parties to appropriate individual(s) without fear of reprisal and enabling the investigation and resolution of the complaints and allegations. (Ref: Para. A44–A47)
- 25. The personnel assigned operational responsibility for the matters set out in paragraph 24(a)(iii) shall have: (Ref: Para. A39)
 - (a) The appropriate experience and knowledge and sufficient time to fulfill their assigned responsibility;
 - (b) A direct line of communication to the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management; and
 - (c) An understanding of their assigned responsibilities and accountability for such responsibilities.

The Firm's Risk Assessment Process

- 26. The firm shall establish the quality objectives required by this ASQM. The firm shall also establish additional quality objectives beyond those required by this ASQM, when those objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this ASQM. (Ref: Para. A48–A51)
- 27. The firm shall understand the conditions, events, circumstances, actions or inactions that may adversely affect the achievement of its quality objectives, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements, to provide the basis for the identification and assessment of quality risks. (Ref: Para. A48, A52)
- 28. Based on the understanding obtained in paragraph 27, the firm shall identify those quality risks, before consideration of any responses, that: (Ref: Para. A48, A53–A54)
 - (a) Have a reasonable possibility of occurring; and (Ref: Para. A55)
 - (b) If they were to occur, may individually or in combination with other quality risks, have a significant effect on the achievement of a quality objective(s). (Ref: Para. A56–A57)
- 29. The firm shall assess the quality risks identified in paragraph 28 to provide a basis for the design and implementation of the related responses. (Ref: Para. A48, A58)
- 30. The firm shall design and implement responses to address the assessed quality risks, including the responses required by this ASQM. The design of the responses shall be based on, and responsive to, the reasons for the assessments given to the quality risks. (Ref: Para. A48, A59–A64)

Changes in the Nature and Circumstances of the Firm or its Engagements

31. The firm shall identify changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm or its engagements and modify the quality objectives, quality risks or responses, as appropriate, in response to such changes. (Ref: Para. A48, A65–A66)

Relevant Ethical Requirements

- Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification in the extant ASQC 1 made to reflect Australian laws and regulations is still applicable to the below paragraph. See Table 1 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information
- 32. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address the fulfillment of responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements, which, as defined, include the principles of professional ethics: (Ref: Para. A67)
 - (a) The firm, its personnel and others subject to relevant ethical requirements understand the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence.
 - (b) The firm, its personnel and others subject to relevant ethical requirements fulfill their responsibilities in relation to the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence.
 - (c) The firm, its personnel and others subject to relevant ethical requirements identify and appropriately respond to breaches of the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, in a timely manner.
- 33. In designing and implementing responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the relevant ethical requirements quality objectives, the firm shall include the following responses: (Ref: Para. A68–A69 and A75)
 - (a) Identifying the relevant ethical requirements and determining the applicability of the relevant ethical requirements to the firm, its personnel and others, including, as applicable, the network, network firms, personnel in the network or network firms, or service providers. (Ref: Para. A15, A70–A71)
 - (b) Establishing policies or procedures that address the identification and evaluation of threats to compliance with the relevant ethical requirements and how identified threats should be addressed. (Ref: Para. A72)
 - (c) Establishing policies or procedures that address the identification, communication, evaluation and reporting of breaches and actions to address the causes and consequences of the breaches. (Ref: Para. A73–A74)

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification in the extant ASQC 1 made to reflect Australian laws and regulations is still applicable to the below paragraph. See Table 1 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information

(d) Obtaining, at least annually, a documented confirmation of compliance with independence requirements from all personnel required by relevant ethical requirements to be independent.

Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements

- 34. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements that are appropriate in the circumstances: (Ref: Para. A76)
 - (a) The firm obtains sufficient appropriate information about the nature and circumstances of the engagement and the integrity and ethical values of the client (including management, and, when appropriate, those charged with governance) and based on such information makes appropriate judgements about whether to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement. (Ref: Para. A77–A82)

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

- (b) The firm makes appropriate judgements about the firm's ability to perform the engagement in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements when determining whether to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement, including that the firm has: (Ref: Para. A83)
 - (i) Resources to perform the engagement; and (Ref: Para. A84)
 - (ii) Access to information to perform the engagement, or to the persons who provide such information.
- (c) The firm's financial and operational priorities do not lead to inappropriate judgements about whether to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement. (Ref: Para. A85–A86)
- (d) The firm responds appropriately in circumstances when the firm becomes aware of information subsequent to accepting or continuing a client relationship or specific engagement that would have caused it to decline the client relationship or specific engagement had that information been known prior to accepting or continuing the client relationship or specific engagement. (Ref: Para. A87–A88)
- 35. In designing and implementing responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the acceptance and continuance quality objectives, the firm shall include policies or procedures that address circumstances when the firm is obligated by law or regulation to accept the client relationship or specific engagement, if applicable. (Ref: Para. A89–A90)

Engagement Performance

- 36. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address the performance of quality engagements:
 - (a) Personnel understand and fulfill their responsibilities in connection with the engagement, including, as applicable:
 - (i) The engagement partner's overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the engagement and for being sufficiently and appropriately involved throughout the engagement; and (Ref: Para. A91)
 - (ii) The appropriate direction and supervision of the engagement team and review of the work performed. (Ref: Para. A92–A93)
 - (b) Engagement teams exercise appropriate professional judgement and, when applicable to the type of engagement, professional scepticism, in planning and performing engagements such that conclusions reached are appropriate. (Ref: Para. A94–A97)
 - (c) The engagement documentation is appropriately assembled and retained.
- 37. In designing and implementing responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the engagement performance quality objectives, the firm shall include the following responses:
 - (a) Establishing policies or procedures addressing the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of engagement teams and review of their work, including that such direction, supervision and review is planned and performed on the basis that the work performed by less experienced members of the engagement team is directed, supervised and reviewed by more experienced engagement team members. (Ref: Para. A92–A93)

- (b) Communicating to engagement teams their responsibility for planning and performing the engagement in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.
- (c) Establishing policies or procedures addressing consultation on difficult or contentious matters, including the engagement team's responsibilities for consultation, the matters on which consultation is required and how the conclusions should be agreed and implemented. (Ref: Para. A95, A98–A99)
- (d) Establishing policies or procedures addressing differences of opinion that arise within the engagement team, or between the engagement team and the engagement quality reviewer or personnel performing activities within the firm's system of quality management, including those who provide consultation. (Ref: Para. A95, A100)
- (e) Establishing policies or procedures addressing engagement quality reviews in accordance with ASQM 2, and that require an engagement quality review for: (Ref: Para. A101–A107)
 - (i) Audits of financial reports of listed entities;
 - (ii) Audits of financial reports of entities that the firm determines are of significant public interest; and
 - (iii) Audits or other engagements for which:
 - a. An engagement quality review is required by law or regulation; or
 - b. The firm determines that an engagement quality review is an appropriate response to assessed quality risks, based on the reasons for the assessments given to those risks.
- (f) Establishing policies or procedures addressing assembly and retention of documentation that require:
 - (i) The engagement files to be assembled within an appropriate period of time after the engagement reports have been finalised; and (Ref: Para. A108)
 - (ii) The engagement documentation to be retained and maintained to meet the needs of the firm and to comply with law, regulation, relevant ethical requirements, or other professional standards. (Ref: Para. A109–A112)

Resources

- 38. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address appropriately obtaining, developing, using, maintaining, allocating and assigning resources, including human resources, technological resources, and intellectual resources, in a timely manner to enable the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management: (Ref: Para. A113–A116)
 - (a) The firm hires, develops and retains personnel, including engagement partners, who have the competence and capabilities to: (Ref: Para. A117–A119)
 - (i) Consistently perform quality engagements, including knowledge or experience regarding professional standards and applicable law or regulation relevant to the engagements the firm performs; or
 - (ii) Perform activities or carry out responsibilities in relation to the operation of the firm's system of quality management.

- (b) The firm assigns an engagement partner and other human resources to each engagement who have appropriate competence and capabilities, including being given sufficient time, to consistently perform quality engagements. (Ref: Para. A120)
- (c) The firm assigns human resources to perform activities within the system of quality management who have appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform such activities. (Ref: Para. A120)
- (d) Personnel demonstrate a commitment to quality through their actions and behaviours, develop and maintain the appropriate competence to perform their roles, and are held accountable through timely evaluations, compensation, promotion and other incentives. (Ref: Para. A121–A123)
- (e) The firm obtains or develops, implements and maintains appropriate technological resources to enable the operation of the firm's system of quality management and the performance of engagements. (Ref: Para. A124–A131)
- (f) The firm obtains or develops, implements and maintains appropriate intellectual resources to enable the consistent performance of quality engagements, and such intellectual resources are consistent with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, where applicable. (Ref: Para. A132–A133)
- (g) Personnel appropriately use the firm's technological and intellectual resources.
- 39. The firm shall design and implement responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the resources quality objectives.

Information and Communication

- 40. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address obtaining, generating or using information regarding the system of quality management, and communicating information within the firm and to external parties on a timely basis to enable the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management: (Ref: Para. A135)
 - (a) The firm has an information system that supports the system of quality management by identifying, capturing, processing and maintaining relevant and reliable information, whether from internal or external sources. (Ref: Para. A136– A138)
 - (b) The firm communicates relevant and reliable information to personnel, the nature, timing and extent of which is sufficient to enable personnel to understand and carry out their responsibilities relating to the performance of engagements or activities within the system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A139)
 - (c) The firm's culture promotes and emphasises the responsibility of personnel to exchange information with the firm and with one another. (Ref: Para. A139)
 - (d) Personnel communicate relevant and reliable information to the firm when performing engagements or activities within the system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A139)
 - (e) The firm communicates relevant and reliable information to external parties regarding the firm's system of quality management, as the firm determines appropriate. (Ref: Para. A142–A153)
- 41. In designing and implementing responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the information and communication quality objectives, the firm shall include the following responses:

- (a) Establishing policies or procedures that address the nature, timing and extent of communication and matters to be communicated by the firm with engagement teams. (Ref: Para. A140)
- (b) Communicating the responsibility for implementing the firm's responses to relevant personnel, including engagement teams. (Ref: Para. A141)
- (c) Establishing policies or procedures that address the nature, timing and extent of communication and matters to be communicated with external parties, including:
 - (i) Communication to external parties in accordance with law, regulation or professional standards. (Ref: Para. A142)
 - (ii) Communication with the network. (Ref: Para. A143)
 - (iii) Communication with service providers. (Ref: Para. A144)
 - (iv) Other communication to external parties about the firm's system of quality management, in a transparency report or otherwise, when the firm determines it appropriate to do so, taking into account: (Ref: Para. A145, A149–A153)
 - a. Whether there are external parties who may use such information to support their understanding of the quality of the engagements performed by the firm; and (Ref: Para. A146–A147)
 - b. The nature and circumstances of the firm, including the nature of the firm's operating environment. (Ref: Para. A148)

Monitoring and Remediation Process

- 42. The firm shall establish the following quality objectives that address the firm's monitoring and remediation process that enable the evaluation of the design, implementation and operation of the components of the system of quality management to determine whether the quality objectives have been achieved: (Ref: Para. A154–A155)
 - (a) The firm's monitoring and remediation process provides relevant, reliable and timely information about the design, implementation and operation of the components of the system of quality management.
 - (b) The firm takes appropriate actions to respond to identified deficiencies such that deficiencies are remediated on a timely basis.
 - (c) The individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management evaluates whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.
- 43. In designing and implementing responses to address the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm relating to the monitoring and remediation quality objectives, the firm shall include the responses in paragraphs 44–57.

Designing and Performing Monitoring Activities

- 44. The firm shall determine the nature, timing and extent of the monitoring activities, including the appropriate combination of ongoing and periodic monitoring activities. In designing and implementing the monitoring activities, the firm shall take into account: (Ref: Para. A156–A159)
 - (a) For a response, the related assessed quality risk(s), the reasons for the assessments given to the quality risk(s) and the design of the response; (Ref: Para. A160–A161)

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

- (b) For monitoring activities over the firm's risk assessment process, the design of that process;
- (c) Changes in factors that have affected the firm's system of quality management or changes in the system of quality management; (Ref: Para. A162)
- (d) Previous monitoring activities and remedial actions, including whether previous monitoring activities continue to be relevant in evaluating the firm's system of quality management; and (Ref: Para. A163–A164)
- (e) Other relevant information, including concerns identified regarding the commitment to quality of the firm or its personnel and information from external inspections. (Ref: Para. A165–A167)
- 45. The firm's monitoring activities shall include the inspection of engagements to determine whether the responses that are required to be implemented at the engagement level have been implemented. Engagement inspections may include the inspection of in-process or completed engagements. In determining the nature, timing and extent of the inspection of engagements, the firm shall: (Ref: Para. A168–A170)
 - (a) Take into account the relevant factors in paragraph 44; and
 - (b) Include the inspection of at least one completed engagement for each engagement partner on a cyclical basis determined by the firm.
- 46. The firm shall establish policies or procedures that:
 - (a) Require those performing the monitoring activities to have the competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform the monitoring activities effectively; and
 - (b) Address the objectivity of the individuals performing the monitoring activities. Such policies or procedures shall prohibit the engagement team members or the engagement quality reviewer of an engagement from performing any inspection of that engagement. (Ref: Para. A171)

Evaluating Findings and Identifying Deficiencies

47. The firm shall establish policies or procedures addressing the evaluation of the findings arising from the monitoring activities, the results of external inspections and other relevant information to determine whether deficiencies exist, including in the monitoring and remediation process. (Ref: Para. A165, A172–A177)

Evaluating Identified Deficiencies

- 48. The firm shall establish policies or procedures addressing:
 - (a) The investigation of the root cause(s) of the identified deficiencies, including that the nature, timing and extent of the procedures to be performed to investigate the root cause(s) take into account the nature of the identified deficiencies and their possible severity; and (Ref: Para. A178–A182)
 - (b) The evaluation of the severity and pervasiveness of the identified deficiencies, including the effect of the identified deficiencies, individually and in aggregate, on the system of quality management as a whole. (Ref: Para. A183)

Responding to Identified Deficiencies

49. The firm shall design and implement remedial actions to address identified deficiencies that are responsive to the results of the root cause analysis. In doing so, the firm shall determine

whether the firm's quality objectives, assessed quality risks and responses remain appropriate and modify them, as appropriate. (Ref: Para. A184)

50. The individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for monitoring and remediation shall evaluate whether the remedial actions are appropriately designed to address the identified deficiencies and their related root cause(s) and determine whether they have been implemented. The individual shall also evaluate whether the remedial actions implemented to address previously identified deficiencies are effective. (Ref: Para. A163)

Findings About a Particular Engagement

- 51. In circumstances when a finding relates to an in-process or completed engagement and there is an indication that procedures required were omitted during the performance of the engagement or the report issued may be inappropriate, the firm shall: (Ref: Para. A185)
 - (a) Take appropriate action to comply with relevant professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
 - (b) When the report is considered to be inappropriate, consider the implications and take appropriate action, including considering whether to obtain legal advice.

Ongoing Communication Related to Monitoring and Remediation

- 52. The individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for the monitoring and remediation process shall communicate on a timely basis to the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management and the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for the system of quality management: (Ref: Para. A186)
 - (a) A description of the monitoring activities performed;
 - (b) The identified deficiencies, including the severity and pervasiveness of such deficiencies; and
 - (c) The remedial actions to address the identified deficiencies.
- 53. The firm shall communicate the matters described in paragraph 52 to personnel to the extent that the information is relevant to their responsibilities to enable the personnel to take prompt and appropriate action in accordance with their responsibilities. (Ref: Para. A187)
- 54. The firm shall communicate information about the results of the firm's monitoring and remediation process to external parties on a timely basis, in accordance with paragraph 41(c).

Evaluating the System of Quality Management

- 55. The individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management shall evaluate whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved. This evaluation shall take into account: (Ref: Para. A188–A189)
 - (a) The severity and pervasiveness of identified deficiencies; and
 - (b) The evaluation in paragraph 50 regarding whether the remedial actions are appropriately designed to address the identified deficiencies and their related root cause(s), and have been implemented.
- 56. The evaluation in paragraph 55 shall be undertaken at least annually, or more frequently when the identified deficiencies are of a severity and pervasiveness that indicate that the system may not be providing reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.

- 57. If the evaluation indicates that the system of quality management does not provide reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved, the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management shall:
 - (a) Take prompt and appropriate action in accordance with their responsibilities; and
 - (b) Communicate to: (Ref: Para. A190–A191)
 - (i) Personnel to the extent that it is relevant to their responsibilities; and
 - (ii) External parties in accordance with the firm's policies or procedures required by paragraph 41(c).

Network Requirements or Network Services

- 58. When the firm operates as part of a network, the firm shall understand, when applicable:
 - (a) The requirements established by the network regarding the firm's system of quality management, including requirements for the firm to implement or use resources or services designed or otherwise provided by or through the network (i.e., network requirements); (Ref: Para. A192)
 - (b) Any services or resources provided by the network that the firm chooses to implement or use in the design, implementation or operation of the firm's system of quality management (i.e., network services); and (Ref: Para. A193)
 - (c) The firm's responsibilities for any actions that are necessary to implement the network requirements or use network services. (Ref: Para. A194)

The firm remains responsible for its system of quality management, including professional judgements made in the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management. The firm shall not allow compliance with the network requirements or use of network services to contravene the requirements of this ASQM. (Ref: Para. A13, A195–A196)

The Firm's Risk Assessment Process

59. In complying with the requirements in paragraphs 26–30, the firm shall evaluate the effect of the network requirements or network services on the firm's system of quality management, including determining whether they need to be adapted or supplemented by the firm to be appropriate for use in its system of quality management. (Ref: Para. A197–A198)

Monitoring and Remediation Process

- 60. In circumstances when the network performs monitoring activities relating to the firm's system of quality management, the firm shall:
 - (a) Determine the effect of the monitoring activities performed by the network on the nature, timing and extent of the firm's monitoring activities performed in accordance with paragraphs 44–45; (Ref: Para. A199)
 - (b) Determine the firm's responsibilities in relation to the monitoring activities, including any related actions by the firm; and
 - (c) As part of evaluating findings and identifying deficiencies in paragraph 47, obtain the results of the monitoring activities from the network in a timely manner. (Ref: Para. A200)

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

- 61. The firm shall, at least annually, obtain information from the network, about the overall scope and results of the monitoring activities across the network firms' systems of quality management and:
 - (a) Consider the effect of such information on the nature, timing and extent of the monitoring activities that need to be undertaken by the firm; and (Ref: Para. A201–A202)
 - (b) Communicate the information to personnel to the extent that it is relevant to their responsibilities such that personnel take prompt and appropriate action in accordance with their responsibilities (including as it relates to the performance of engagements).
- 62. As part of evaluating findings and identifying deficiencies in paragraph 47, if the firm identifies deficiencies in the network requirements or network services, the firm shall communicate to the network relevant information about the identified deficiencies. (Ref: Para. A203)
- 63. As part of designing and implementing remedial actions in paragraph 49, for identified deficiencies related to the network requirements or network services the firm shall: (Ref: Para. A204)
 - (a) Understand the planned remedial actions by the network;
 - (b) Understand whether the network's remedial actions are designed and implemented to address the identified deficiencies and their related root cause(s); and
 - (c) Determine the supplementary remedial actions needed by the firm, if any.

Service Providers

- 64. When the firm intends to obtain or use resources provided by a service provider in its system of quality management, the firm's responses for resources shall include: (Ref: Para. A205–A207)
 - (a) Obtaining an understanding of the service provider, including determining that the reputation, competence and capabilities of the service provider are appropriate in the context of the intended use of the resource; (Ref: Para. A208)
 - (b) Establishing the nature and scope of the resources provided by the service provider, including the firm's responsibilities for any actions that are necessary in using the resources; and (Ref: Para. A209)
 - (c) Determining whether the resource is appropriate for use in the system of quality management in the context of the quality risks identified and assessed by the firm and the reasons for the assessments given to the quality risks, including when changes are made to the resources provided. (Ref: Para. A210)

Notwithstanding the firm's use of a service provider(s), the firm remains responsible for its system of quality management.

- 65. As part of evaluating findings and identifying deficiencies in paragraph 47, if the firm identifies deficiencies in the resources provided by the service provider, the firm shall communicate to the service provider relevant information about the identified deficiencies. The firm shall also:
 - (a) Understand the planned remedial actions by the service provider and consider whether the service provider's remedial actions are designed and implemented to address the identified deficiencies and their related root cause(s);

- (b) Determine the supplementary remedial actions needed by the firm, if any; and
- (c) Consider whether to continue using the services provided by the service provider.

Documentation

- 66. The firm shall prepare documentation of its system of quality management that is sufficient to: (Ref: Para. A211–A213)
 - (a) Support a consistent understanding of the system of quality management by personnel, including an understanding of their roles and responsibilities with respect to the firm's system of quality management;
 - (b) Support the consistent implementation and operation of the responses; and
 - (c) Provide evidence of the design, implementation and operation of the responses, such that the firm is able to evaluate the system of quality management.
- 67. The firm shall prepare documentation that includes: (Ref: Para. A214)
 - (a) The firm's quality objectives and assessed quality risks;
 - (b) A description of the responses and how the firm's responses address the assessed quality risks; and
 - (c) Regarding the monitoring and remediation process:
 - (i) Evidence of the monitoring activities performed;
 - (ii) The evaluation of the findings from the monitoring activities, results of external inspections and other relevant information, including the identified deficiencies and their related root cause(s);
 - (iii) Remedial actions to address identified deficiencies and the evaluation of the design and implementation of such remedial actions;
 - (iv) Communications about monitoring and remediation; and
 - (v) The basis for the evaluation of whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.
- 68. The firm shall document the matters in paragraph 67 as they relate to network requirements or network services or resources provided by service providers and:
 - (a) The evaluation of the effect of the network requirements or network services in accordance with paragraph 59 and the conclusions reached.
 - (b) The firm's basis for determining that it is appropriate to use the resources from a service provider in its system of quality management.
- 69. The firm shall establish a period of time for the retention of documentation for the system of quality management that is sufficient to permit those performing monitoring procedures to evaluate the firm's system of quality management, or for a longer period if required by law or regulation.

* * *

Application and Other Explanatory Material

Scope of this ASQM (Ref: Para. 2)

Other pronouncements of the AUASB, including ASRE 2400⁷ and ASAE 3000,⁸ also establish A1. requirements for the engagement partner for the management of quality at the engagement level.

The Firm's System of Quality Management (Ref: Para. 7-8)

- The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below Aus paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.
- A2. The APESB Code contains requirements and application material for professional accountants that enable professional accountants to meet their responsibility to act in the public interest. In the context of engagement performance as described in this AŠQM, the consistent performance of quality engagements forms part of the professional accountant's responsibility to act in the public interest.
- A3. Reasonable assurance is obtained when the firm's system of quality management reduces to an acceptably low level the risk that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) are not achieved. Reasonable assurance is not an absolute level of assurance, because there are inherent limitations of a firm's system of quality management. Such limitations include reality that human judgement in decision making can be faulty and that breakdowns in the firm's system of quality management may occur, for example, due to human error or behaviour or failures in the firm's IT applications.
- The design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management involves the A4. exercise of professional judgement, including when making decisions about:
 - The appropriate organisational structure and assignment of roles, responsibilities and • authority that support the firm's commitment to quality.
 - Establishing additional quality objectives beyond those required by this ASQM when those objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this standard.
 - The identification and assessment of the quality risks.
 - The appropriate nature, timing and extent of the responses to address the assessed quality risks.
 - The resources and information and communication that are appropriate to enable the design, implementation and operation of the components of the system of quality management.
 - The evaluation of whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.
 - The effect of the network requirements or network services on the firm's system of quality management.

Australian Standard on Review Engagements ASRE 2400, Review of a Financial Report Performed by an Assurance Practitioner Who is Not the Auditor of the Entity Australian Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3000, Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical

Financial Information

A5. The firm may use different terminology or frameworks to describe the components of its system of quality management.

Authority of this ASQM (Ref: Para. 16)

- A6. The objective of this ASQM provides the context in which the requirements of this ASQM are set, establishes the desired outcome of this ASQM and is intended to assist the firm in understanding what needs to be accomplished and, where necessary, the appropriate means of doing so.
- A7. The requirements of this ASQM are expressed using "shall."
- A8. Where necessary, the application and other explanatory material provides further explanation of the requirements and guidance for carrying them out. In particular, it may:
 - Explain more precisely what a requirement means or is intended to cover; and
 - Include examples that illustrate how the requirements might be applied.

While such guidance does not in itself impose a requirement, it is relevant to the proper application of the requirements. The application and other explanatory material may also provide background information on matters addressed in this ASQM. Where appropriate, additional considerations specific to public sector audit organisations are included within the application and other explanatory material. These additional considerations assist in the application of the requirements in this ASQM. They do not, however, limit or reduce the responsibility of the firm to apply and comply with the requirements in this ASQM.

A9. This ASQM includes, under the heading "Definitions," a description of the meanings attributed to certain terms for purposes of this ASQM. These definitions are provided to assist in the consistent application and interpretation of this ASQM, and are not intended to override definitions that may be established for other purposes, whether in law, regulation or otherwise. The Glossary of Terms relating to Australian Standards issued by the AUASB includes the terms defined in this ASQM. The Glossary of Terms also includes descriptions of other terms found in the ASQMs to assist in common and consistent interpretation and translation.

Definitions

Deficiencies (Ref: Para. 19(a))

- A10. A response to address an assessed quality risk is not:
 - Properly designed when a response necessary to address an assessed quality risk is absent or a response is not properly designed in a manner that effectively addresses an assessed quality risk, such that a quality objective may not be achieved. A deficiency in the design of a response may also arise from a quality objective or assessed quality risk not being appropriately specific, given the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements.
 - Operating effectively when a response that is properly designed does not operate as designed, which results in the related quality risk not being effectively addressed such that a quality objective may not be achieved.

External Inspections (Ref: Para. 19(g))

A11. In some circumstances, an external oversight authority may undertake other types of reviews, for example, reviews of specific areas of focus that contribute to the improvement of engagement quality. Paragraph A165 describes such reviews as part of other relevant information considered by the firm in the monitoring and remediation component.

Firm (Ref: Para. 19(h))

A12. The definition of "firm" in relevant ethical requirements may differ from the definition set out in this ASQM.

Network (Ref: Para. 19(k), 58)

A13. Networks and the firms within the network may be structured in a variety of ways; however, in all cases networks are external to the firm. In some instances, network firms may provide services (e.g., resources) that are used by the firm in its system of quality management. There may also be circumstances when the network includes other structures or organisations that establish requirements for the firm related to its system of quality management, or provides services. For the purposes of this ASQM, any requirements established by the network regarding the firm's system of quality management or services or resources provided by the network that the firm chooses to implement or use in its system of quality management that are obtained from the network, network firms or another structure or organisation in the network are considered "network requirements or network services."

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraphs to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A14. The APESB Code provides guidance in relation to the terms "network" and "network firm."

Relevant Ethical Requirements (Ref: Para. 19(s), 33(a))

- A15. The relevant ethical requirements that are applicable in the context of a system of quality management may vary, depending on the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements. The term "professional accountant" may be defined in relevant ethical requirements. For example, the APESB Code defines the term "professional accountant" and further explains the scope of provisions in the APESB Code that apply to individual professional accountants in public practice and their firms.
- A16. The APESB Code addresses circumstances when law or regulation precludes the professional accountant from complying with certain parts of the APESB Code. It further acknowledges that some jurisdictions might have provisions in law or regulation that differ from or go beyond those set out in the APESB Code and that professional accountants in those jurisdictions need to be aware of those differences and comply with the more stringent provisions, unless prohibited by law or regulation.

Response (Ref: Para. 19(t))

- A17. Policies are implemented through the actions of personnel and other individuals whose actions are subject to the policies, or through their restraint from taking actions that would conflict with the firm's policies.
- A18. Procedures may be mandated, through formal documentation or other communications, or may be effected by behaviours that are not mandated but are rather conditioned by the firm's culture. Procedures may be enabled through the application of IT, for example, the firm may use an IT application to facilitate obtaining a documented confirmation of compliance with independence requirements from personnel.

Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements (Ref: Para. 20–21)

- A19. The individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management may also assume operational responsibility for the system of quality management, for example, in smaller firms.
- A20. Examples of when a requirement of this ASQM may not be relevant to the firm include:

- When the firm is a sole practitioner. For example, the requirements addressing the organisational structure and assigning roles, responsibilities and authority within the firm, appropriate direction, supervision and review and addressing differences of opinion may not be relevant.
- When the firm only performs engagements that are related services engagements. For example, if the firm is not required to maintain independence for the related services engagements, the requirement to obtain a documented confirmation of compliance with independence requirements from all personnel would not be relevant.

System of Quality Management (Ref: Para. 22)

- A21. Paragraph 55 requires the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management to evaluate whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.
- A22. The nature and circumstances of the firm may include consideration of matters such as:
 - The size and operating characteristics of the firm, including the geographical dispersion and the extent to which the firm concentrates or centralizes its processes or activities.
 - The firm's strategic decisions and actions, including those about financial and operational matters.
 - External factors, for example, law or regulation, economic stability, stakeholder expectations and social factors.
 - In the case of a firm that belongs to a network, the nature of the network, how the network is organised and the nature and extent of the requirements established by the network regarding the firm's system of quality management or services or resources provided by the network that the firm chooses to implement or use in the design, implementation and operation of the firm's system of quality management.
 - The extent to which the firm uses service providers in its system of quality management and the nature of such services.
- A23. The nature and circumstances of the engagements performed by the firm may include consideration of matters such as:
 - The types of engagements performed by the firm, for example, whether the firm performs only compilation engagements or performs a variety of engagements, including audits of financial reports.
 - The types of entities for which such engagements are undertaken, for example, the industries in which the entities operate and whether the entities are owner-managed, listed or of significant public interest. An entity may be of significant public interest because it has a large number and wide range of stakeholders or due to the nature and size of its business.
 - External factors, such as relevant professional standards and law or regulation.
- A24. The quality of professional judgements exercised by the firm is enhanced when personnel making such judgements demonstrate an attitude that includes a questioning mind, critical assessment of information in formulating decisions, and being alert to changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm or its engagements.

Governance and Leadership (Ref: Para. 23–25)

A25. Law, regulation or other professional standards may prescribe additional matters related to the governance or leadership of the firm, for example, the firm may be required to follow an audit firm governance code that may incorporate specific governance principles and require adherence by the firm to specific provisions.

Culture (Ref: Para. 23(a), 24(a)(ii))

- A26. The firm's culture is an important factor in influencing the behaviour of personnel. Relevant ethical requirements ordinarily establish the principles of professional ethics, and are further addressed in the relevant ethical requirements component of this ASQM. Professional values and attitudes may include, for example:
 - Professional manner, for example, timeliness, courteousness, respect, accountability, responsiveness, and dependability;
 - A commitment to teamwork;
 - Maintaining an open mind to new ideas or different perspectives in the professional environment;
 - Pursuit of excellence;
 - A commitment to continual improvement (e.g., setting expectations beyond the minimum requirements); and
 - Social responsibility.
- A27. A culture that promotes a commitment to quality is likely to involve clear, consistent, frequent and effective actions, including communications, at all levels within the firm, that emphasise the firm's commitment to quality. The tone at the top and the attitude towards quality, including reinforcing the importance of professional ethics, values and attitudes, are set by the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management through their personal conduct, communication and actions. The attitude towards quality is further shaped and reinforced by other personnel who are expected to embed or demonstrate the behaviours that reflect the firm's commitment to quality.
- A28. The nature and extent of the actions of the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management in establishing the firm's culture may depend on factors such as the size, structure, geographical dispersion and complexity of the firm. For example, a smaller firm may be able to establish the desired culture through the direct interaction of firm leadership with other personnel. For a larger firm in which personnel are dispersed across many geographical locations, more formal communication may be necessary. Other actions that may be taken to establish the expected behaviour of personnel include creating a code of conduct.

Strategic Decisions and Actions (Ref: Para. 23(c))

A29. It is important that the firm's strategic decision-making process, which may include establishing a business strategy, takes into consideration how the firm's decisions about financial and operational matters (e.g., the firm's profitability or strategic focus, such as growth of the firm's market share, industry specialisation or new service offerings) affect the performance of quality engagements.

Public Sector Considerations

A30. In the public sector, although the firm's strategic decisions and actions may be less influenced by matters such as profitability or strategic focus areas, they are nevertheless affected by financial and operational priorities, for example, the allocation of financial resources.

Organisational Structure (Ref: Para. 23(d), 24(a)(iii))

- A31. The organisational structure of the firm may include operating units, operational processes, divisions or geographical locations and other structures. In some instances, the firm may concentrate or centralize processes or activities in a service delivery centre, for example, engagement teams may include human resources from service delivery centres who perform specific tasks that are repetitive or specialised in nature.
- A32. How the firm assigns roles, responsibilities and authority within the firm may vary. For example, the leadership structure of a smaller firm may comprise a single managing partner with sole responsibility for the oversight of the firm. Larger firms may have multiple levels of leadership, such as a chief executive officer (or equivalent) and a managing board of partners (or equivalent), and further levels that reflect the organisational structure of the firm. Some firms may also have an independent governing body that has non-executive oversight of the firm. At a jurisdictional level, law or regulation may impose certain requirements for the firm that affect the leadership and management structure or their assigned responsibilities.

Resources (Ref: Para. 23(e))

- A33. The quality objective in this component for resources addresses all categories of resources. The resources component includes quality objectives that address specific aspects of human resources, technological resources and intellectual resources. Financial resources are necessary for obtaining, developing, using and maintaining human resources, technological resources. The quality objectives and responses in governance and leadership, such as those that address financial and operational priorities, address financial resources.
- A34. The individuals(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability or operational responsibility for the system of quality management are in most cases able to influence the nature and extent of resources that the firm obtains, develops, uses and maintains, and how those resources are allocated or assigned, including the timing of when they are used. The firm's strategic decisions and actions may affect decisions about obtaining, allocating or assigning resources. Paragraph 23(c) requires that the strategic decisions and actions, including the firm's financial and operational priorities, demonstrate a commitment to quality, including not leading to inappropriate decisions about obtaining, allocating or assigning resources for the system of quality management.
- A35. Resource needs may change over time as a result of changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm (e.g., the emergence of new or advanced technology or evolution in the firm's business model) and the engagements performed by the firm. The firm's resource planning involves determining the resources currently required and forecasting the firm's future resource needs. However, given the continual changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements, it may not be practicable for the firm to anticipate all possible resource needs or changes to the resource needs and therefore, in most cases, the firm's resource planning includes processes to deal with resource needs that cannot be anticipated as and when they arise.

Firm Leadership Responsibility and Accountability (Ref: Para. 23(b), 24(a))

A36. Paragraph A32 explains the various leadership structures that may exist in a firm. Ordinarily the person with ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management is the chief executive officer (or equivalent), or the firm's managing partner (e.g., in the case of a smaller firm). However, some firm management structures may share the

responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management among the firm's managing board of partners (or equivalent).

Operational Responsibility (Ref: Para. 24(a)(iii), 25)

- A37. The individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management is responsible and accountable for the firm achieving the objective of this ASQM. The individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for the system of quality management as a whole is responsible and accountable for the design, implementation and operation of the firm's system of quality management. In some instances, operational responsibility for the matters in paragraph 24(a)(iii) may be assigned to one individual, particularly in the case of a smaller firm. These responsibilities may also be fulfilled by the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management.
- A38. In some instances, the individual assigned operational responsibility for the system of quality management may further assign specific roles, procedures, tasks or actions to other individuals within the firm. For example, in addition to assigning responsibility for compliance with independence requirements and the monitoring and remediation process, the individual may assign responsibility for technological resources.
- A39. In some circumstances, the firm may establish additional criteria for the eligibility of the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for the matters set out in paragraph 24(a)(iii).

Performance Evaluations (Ref: Para. 24(b))

- A40. Periodic performance evaluations of individual(s) within the firm are a required response to promote the accountability of such individual(s) for their assigned responsibilities. In considering the performance of individuals, the firm may take into account:
 - The results of the firm's monitoring activities for aspects of the system of quality management that relate to the responsibility of the individual. For example, the firm may set targets for the individual and measure the results of the firm's monitoring activities against those targets.
 - The actions taken by the individual(s) in response to identified deficiencies that relate to the responsibility of that individual, including the timeliness and effectiveness of such actions.
- A41. A positive performance evaluation may be rewarded through compensation, promotion and other incentives that focus on the individual's commitment to quality, and reinforce accountability. On the other hand, the firm may take corrective actions to address a negative performance evaluation that may affect the firm's achievement of its quality objectives.
- A42. Given the unique position of the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management, the performance evaluations may be undertaken by an independent non-executive member of the firm's governing body, or a special committee overseen by the firm's governing body, or the firm may engage a service provider to perform the evaluation. In the case of smaller firms, it may not be practicable to perform performance evaluations; however, in such cases, the results of the firm's monitoring activities may provide an indication of the performance of the individual(s).

Public Sector Considerations

A43. In the case of the public sector, it may not be practicable to perform a performance evaluation of the individual assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management, or to take actions to address the results of the performance evaluation, given the nature of the individual's appointment. Nevertheless, performance evaluations may still be

undertaken for other individuals in the firm who are assigned operational responsibility for aspects of the system of quality management.

Complaints and Allegations (Ref: Para. 24(c))

A44. Establishing policies or procedures for dealing with complaints and allegations supports the firm's commitment to quality. Complaints and allegations may originate from within or outside the firm and they may be made by personnel or external parties, such as clients or others within the firm's network. Complaints and allegations may relate to the failure to perform work in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, or non-compliance with the firm's policies or procedures. A complaint or allegation may indicate that there is a deficiency in the firm's system of quality management, which would be other relevant information considered by the firm as part of its monitoring and remediation process, as required by paragraph 44(e).

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- A45. Law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements may establish responsibilities for the firm or its personnel in circumstances when complaints or allegations arise, such as an obligation on the firm or its personnel to report the matter to an authority outside the firm. For example, sections 260 and 360 of the APESB Code address the approach to be taken by the firm or its personnel in responding to non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws or regulations, which may include communications external to the firm that are addressed through the firm's policies or procedures for external communication in paragraph 41(c).
- A46. In identifying an appropriate individual(s) to whom complaints and allegations are to be communicated, the firm may consider whether the individual(s) has:
 - The experience, knowledge, time and appropriate authority within the firm needed to assume the role; and
 - A direct line of communication to the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management.
- A47. The firm may also identify an individual(s) to be responsible for supervising the investigation of complaints and allegations and may consider:
 - The factors described in paragraph A46; and
 - Whether the individual(s) is not otherwise involved in the engagement to which a complaint or allegation pertains or has sufficient objectivity from the area or personnel subject to the investigation.

The individual(s) supervising an investigation may involve legal counsel as necessary. In the case of a smaller firm, it may not be practicable to identify an individual to supervise an investigation of an allegation or complaint who is not involved in the related engagement or area of the investigation. As a result, such firms may use a service provider to carry out the investigation into complaints and allegations, for example, legal counsel or a suitably qualified consultant.

The Firm's Risk Assessment Process (Ref: Para. 26–31)

A48. The approach that the firm takes to the risk assessment process may vary according to many factors, including how the firm is structured and organised. For example, the firm's risk assessment process may be centralized (e.g., the quality objectives, quality risks and responses are established centrally for all business units, functions and service lines) or may be decentralised (e.g., the quality objectives, quality risks and responses are established at a

business unit, function or service line level, with the outputs combined at the firm level). Although this ASQM is organized by components, the firm's risk assessment process may be undertaken for the system of quality management as a whole.

Establish Quality Objectives (Ref: Para. 26)

- A49. The quality objectives that the firm is required to establish are set out in paragraphs 23, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40 and 42. In addition, given the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements the firm:
 - Is required to establish additional quality objectives beyond those required by this ASQM, when those objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this ASQM.
 - May decide that more granular quality objectives than those set out in this ASQM are appropriate. Establishing more granular quality objectives may enhance the firm's identification and assessment of quality risks.
- A50. Given the iterative nature of the firm's risk assessment process, the firm may determine that additional quality objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this ASQM at any stage in the process of establishing quality objectives, identifying and assessing quality risks and designing and implementing responses. The results of the firm's monitoring and remediation process may also highlight that additional quality objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this ASQM, including in circumstances when it is determined that the system of quality management does not provide reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.
- A51. Although the quality objectives set out in this ASQM are organised by component, an objective in one component may overlap, be related to, support or be supported by a quality objective in another component. For example, the quality objective in information and communication addressing the communication of relevant and reliable information in a timely manner to personnel supports the quality objective in the relevant ethical requirements component addressing the understanding of relevant ethical requirements by the firm, its personnel and others subject to relevant ethical requirements.

Conditions, Events, Circumstances, Actions or Inactions That May Affect the Achievement of the Quality Objectives (Ref: Para. 27)

A52. In understanding the conditions, events, circumstances, actions or inactions that may affect the achievement of its quality objectives, the firm may consider what could go wrong in relation to the matters identified in paragraphs A22–A23 that could affect the achievement of such objectives. Such consideration may also assist with identifying quality risks.

Identify and Assess Quality Risks (Ref: Para. 28–29)

- A53. The firm exercises professional judgement in identifying and assessing quality risks. The process for identifying and assessing quality risks may involve a combination of ongoing and periodic risk identification and assessment procedures. In some circumstances, the identification and assessment of quality risks may be undertaken concurrently.
- A54. Under this ASQM, not every quality risk needs to be identified and further assessed. The firm identifies which quality risks need to be further assessed based on a preliminary consideration of the possibility of the quality risks occurring and the effect on the achievement of the quality objectives. Only those quality risks that meet both of the criteria in paragraph 28(a) and (b) need to be identified and further assessed. The further assessment of the quality risks involves a more detailed consideration of the degree of the likelihood of the quality risks occurring and the significance of the effect of the quality risks on the achievement of the quality objectives.
- A55. There is a reasonable possibility of a quality risk occurring when the likelihood of its occurrence is more than remote.

- A56. The significance of the effect of a quality risk on the achievement of a quality objective(s) is judged in the context of the underlying conditions and events that gave rise to the quality risk, as well as the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements, which are further described in paragraphs A22–A23.
- A57. The firm may determine that a quality risk that has a reasonable possibility of occurring does not, on its own, have a significant effect on the achievement of a quality objective(s). However, a quality risk is required to be identified and further assessed in circumstances when the quality risk, in combination with other quality risks that have a reasonable possibility of occurring, have a significant effect on the achievement of a quality objective(s).
- A58. The assessment of identified quality risks need not comprise formal ratings or scores, and may involve taking into consideration:
 - The expected frequency of the quality risk occurring.
 - The rate at which the effect of the quality risk would take place, or the amount of time that the firm has to respond to the quality risk.
 - The duration of time of the effect of the quality risk after it has occurred.

Design and Implement Responses to Assessed Quality Risks (Ref: Para. 19(t), 30)

- A59. The responses required by this ASQM are set out in paragraphs 24, 25, 33, 35, 37, 41 and 43 and represent responses that are relevant to every firm's system of quality management and are therefore applicable to all firms. However, the responses required by this ASQM alone will not be sufficient to address all of the firm's assessed quality risks, as explained in paragraph 10(c). Accordingly the firm is required to design and implement responses in addition to those required by this ASQM. For example, paragraph A69 identifies additional responses that may be appropriate to address quality risks for relevant ethical requirements.
- A60. The firm exercises professional judgement in designing and implementing responses to address the assessed quality risks. The nature, timing and extent of the responses are affected by the reasons for the assessment given to the assessed quality risks, which includes:
 - The likelihood of the assessed quality risk occurring. For example, a more robust response may be needed for an assessed quality risk that has a higher likelihood of occurring.
 - The significance of the effect on the achievement of the quality objectives. For example, a more robust response may be needed for an assessed quality risk that has a more significant effect on the achievement of a quality objective.
 - The conditions, events, circumstances, actions or inactions that give rise to the assessed quality risks. For example, if the assessed quality risk relates specifically to engagements performed for a category of entities (e.g., audits of financial reports of listed entities), the responses may require specific actions for entities in that category, rather than all engagements performed by the firm.
- A61. The nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements affect the reasons for the assessment given to the assessed quality risks, and the nature, timing and extent of the responses designed and implemented to address the assessed quality risks. For example, in demonstrating a commitment to quality through their actions and behaviours, as required by paragraph 24(a)(ii), leadership of a smaller firm may engage in direct and frequent interactions with personnel throughout the firm. However, in the case of a larger firm, frequent and direct interactions by leadership with all personnel may not be practicable and therefore the actions taken to demonstrate a commitment to quality may involve multiple actions, including establishing firm values in a code of conduct that all personnel are required to comply with

and a series of formal communications from firm leadership that emphasise the importance of quality.

- A62. The responses designed and implemented by the firm may operate at the firm level or engagement level, or there may be a combination of responsibilities for actions to be taken at the firm and engagement level in order for a response to operate as designed. For example, the firm may appoint suitably qualified and experienced personnel to provide technical advice to engagement teams and, in doing so, may prescribe specific matters for which consultation by the engagement team is required. The engagement team may have a responsibility to identify when such matters occur and to initiate such consultation as required by the firm's policies or procedures. Communicating to engagement teams about their responsibilities for the implementation of the response is therefore important for the functioning of the system of quality management, and is a response required by paragraph 41(b).
- A63. The need for formally documented policies or procedures may be greater for firms that have many personnel or that are geographically dispersed, in order to achieve consistency across the firm.
- A64. In some cases, the response designed and implemented by the firm may address multiple assessed quality risks across multiple components of the system of quality management. Furthermore, the responses designed and implemented to address an assessed quality risk in one component may affect the assessed quality risks and responses of another component. For example, engaging a service provider to manage all aspects of the firm's IT environment may create new quality risks for relevant ethical requirements (e.g., the service provider may have access to confidential information).

Changes in the Nature and Circumstances of the Firm or Its Engagements (Ref: Para. 31)

- A65. In some circumstances, changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm's engagements may affect the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management. For example, the firm may accept an engagement to perform an audit of financial reports for an entity involved in an industry for which the firm has not previously performed audit engagements that may create new quality risks (e.g., personnel do not have the knowledge or experience relevant to the engagement).
- A66. Quality objectives, quality risks or responses may also need to be modified as a result of:
 - Changes that affect specific components of the system of quality management, for example, changes in the firm's resources.
 - Information from the firm's monitoring and remediation, including identified deficiencies from monitoring activities, external inspections or other relevant information.

Relevant Ethical Requirements (Ref: Para. 32–33)

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A67. The APESB Code sets out the fundamental principles of ethics that establish the standard of behaviour expected of a professional accountant and establishes the Australian Independence Standards. The fundamental principles are integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour. The APESB Code also specifies the approach that a professional accountant is required to apply to comply with the fundamental principles and the Australian Independence Standards and addresses specific topics relevant to complying with the fundamental principles. Law or regulation in a jurisdiction may also contain provisions addressing ethical requirements, including independence, for example, privacy laws affecting the confidentiality of information.

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification in the extant ASQC 1 made to reflect Australian laws and regulations is still applicable to the below paragraph. See Table 1 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information;

- A68. In some cases, the firm may determine that it is appropriate to design and implement responses that are more specific than the provisions of relevant ethical requirements. For example, having regard to the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements, a firm may:
 - Prohibit the acceptance of gifts and hospitality from a client, even if the value is trivial and inconsequential.
 - Set rotation periods for the engagement partner and other senior personnel for all engagements performed by the firm, including other assurance or related services engagements.
- A69. Other components include responses that may affect or relate to the relevant ethical requirements component. For example, the following are examples of responses for information and communication and resources that may address assessed quality risks for relevant ethical requirements:
 - Communicating the independence requirements to all personnel and others subject to independence requirements, as applicable.
 - Providing training for personnel on relevant ethical requirements.
 - Establishing manuals and guides (i.e., intellectual resources) containing the provisions of the relevant ethical requirements and guidance on how they are applied in the circumstances of the firm and the engagements it performs.
 - Assigning personnel (i.e., human resources) to manage and monitor compliance with relevant ethical requirements or to provide consultation on matters related to relevant ethical requirements.
 - Establishing policies or procedures for personnel to communicate relevant information to appropriate parties within the firm or to the engagement partner related to:
 - Personal or firm situations that may create threats to independence, for example, financial interests, loans, employment relationships or personal appointments.
 - Client engagements, including non-assurance engagements. For example, this may include the scope of services, fees or information about long association.
 - Business relationships.
 - Any breaches of the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence.
 - Establishing an information system, including through IT applications (i.e., technological resources), to monitor compliance with relevant ethical requirements, including recording and maintaining information about independence.

Furthermore, the individual in the firm assigned operational responsibility for compliance with independence requirements is ordinarily responsible for the oversight of all matters related to independence, including the policies or procedures addressing communication of breaches of independence requirements and determining that appropriate actions have been taken to address the causes and consequences of the breach.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- A70. Various provisions of the relevant ethical requirements may apply only to personnel and not the firm itself. For example, Part 2 of the APESB Code applies to individuals who are professional accountants in public practice when performing professional activities pursuant to their relationship with the firm. The firm's system of quality management may need to address personnel's compliance with such relevant ethical requirements, for example, the firm may need to establish policies or procedures to facilitate personnel's compliance with Part 2 of the APESB Code (e.g., policies or procedures addressing section 260 of the APESB Code regarding non-compliance with laws and regulations).
- A71. The applicability of the relevant ethical requirements to others (i.e., the network, network firms, personnel in the network or network firms, or service providers) depends on whether those requirements contain specific provisions addressing others, and how the firm uses others in its system of quality management. For example:
 - Relevant ethical requirements may include requirements for independence that apply to network firms or employees of network firms.
 - The definition of engagement team under relevant ethical requirement may include any individuals engaged by the firm who perform assurance procedures on the engagement (e.g., a service provider engaged to attend a physical inventory count at a remote location). Accordingly, any requirements of the relevant ethical requirements that apply to the engagement team may also be relevant to such individuals.
 - The principle of confidentiality may apply to a network, network firm or service provider, given that they may have access to client information obtained by the firm.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- A72. Relevant ethical requirements may contain provisions regarding the identification and evaluation of threats and how they should be addressed. For example, the APESB Code provides a conceptual framework for this purpose and, in applying the conceptual framework, requires that the firm use the reasonable and informed third party test.
- A73. The policies or procedures addressing breaches of the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, may address matters such as:
 - The communication of breaches of the relevant ethical requirements to appropriate individual(s) within the firm;
 - The evaluation of the significance of a breach and its effect on compliance with relevant ethical requirements;
 - The actions to be taken to satisfactorily address the consequences of a breach, including that such actions be taken as soon as practicable;
 - Determining whether to report a breach to external parties; and
 - Determining the appropriate actions to be taken in relation to the individual(s) responsible for the breach.
- Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A74. Relevant ethical requirements may specify how the firm is required to respond to a breach. The APESB Code sets out requirements for the firm in the event of a breach of the APESB Code and includes specific requirements addressing breaches of the Australian Independence Standards, which includes requirements for communication with external parties

Public Sector Considerations

A75. Statutory measures may provide safeguards for the independence of public sector auditors. However, threats to independence may still exist regardless of any statutory measures designed to protect the firm's independence that will require an appropriate response by the organisation.

Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements (Ref: Para. 34–35)

- A76. Other components include responses that may affect or relate to the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements component. For example:
 - The information necessary to support the firm's decisions about the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements is identified, captured, processed and maintained through the information and communication component, and may include intellectual resources such as databases of client information or access to external information databases.
 - The firm may use technological resources in the form of IT applications to facilitate the approval of client relationships or specific engagements at appropriate levels within the firm.
 - Governance and leadership addresses the responsibility of the firm with respect to appropriate resource planning and obtaining, allocating or assigning resources.

The Nature and Circumstances of the Engagement and the Integrity and Ethical Values of the Client (Ref: Para. 34(a))

- A77. The information obtained about the nature and circumstances of the engagement may include:
 - The industry of the entity for which the engagement is being undertaken and relevant regulatory factors;
 - The nature of the entity, for example, its operations, organisational structure, ownership and governance, its business model and how it is financed; and
 - The nature of the underlying subject matter and the criteria to be applied in the preparation of the subject matter information, for example, in the case of integrated reporting, the underlying subject matter may include social, environmental and health and safety information and the criteria may be performance measures established by a recognised body of experts.
- A78. In some circumstances the firm may establish policies or procedures that specify, or prohibit, the types of engagements that may be performed by the firm, for example, the firm may prohibit the performance of assurance engagements over a certain subject matter. The policies or procedures may also prohibit the performance of engagements for certain types of entities, for example, the firm may prohibit the performance of engagements in certain industries.
- A79. The information obtained to support the firm's judgements about the integrity and ethical values of the client may include the identity and business reputation of the client's principal owners, key management, and those charged with its governance. The nature and extent of information obtained may depend on factors such as:

- The nature of the entity for which the engagement is being performed, including the complexity of its ownership and management structure.
- The nature of the client's operations, including its business practices.
- Information concerning the attitude of the client's principal owners, key management and those charged with its governance towards such matters as aggressive interpretation of accounting standards and the internal control environment.
- Whether the client is aggressively concerned with maintaining the firm's fees as low as possible.
- Indications of a client-imposed limitation in the scope of work.
- Indications that the client might be involved in money laundering or other criminal activities.
- The reasons for the proposed appointment of the firm and non-reappointment of the previous firm.
- The identity and business reputation of related parties.
- A80. The firm may obtain the information from a variety of internal and external sources, for example:
 - In the case of an existing client, consideration of matters that have arisen during the current or previous engagements, if applicable, or enquiry of other personnel who have performed other engagements for the client.
 - In the case of a new client, enquiry of existing or previous providers of professional accountancy services to the client, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements.
 - Discussions with other third parties, such as bankers, legal counsel and industry peers.
 - Background searches of relevant databases (which may be intellectual resources). In some cases, the firm may use a service provider to perform the background search.
- A81. Information that is obtained during the firm's acceptance and continuance process about the nature and circumstances of the engagement and the integrity and ethical values of the client's management, and, when appropriate, those charged with governance is in most cases relevant to the engagement team when planning and performing the engagement. Professional standards may specifically require the engagement team to obtain or consider such information. For example, ASA 220⁹ requires the engagement partner to take into account information obtained in the acceptance and continuance process in planning and performing the audit engagement in accordance with the ASAs and complying with the requirements of ASA 220.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A82. Professional standards or legal and regulatory requirements may include specific provisions that need to be addressed before accepting or continuing a client relationship or specific engagement and may also require the firm to make enquiries of an existing or predecessor firm when accepting an engagement. For example, when there has been a change of auditors, ASA 300¹⁰ requires the auditor, prior to starting an initial audit, to communicate with the

⁹ Proposed ASA 220, paragraph 21

¹⁰ ASA 300, Planning an Audit of a Financial Report, paragraph 13(b)

predecessor auditor in compliance with relevant ethical requirements. The APESB Code also includes requirements for the consideration of conflicts of interests in accepting or continuing a client relationship or specific engagement and communication with the existing or predecessor firm when accepting an engagement that is an audit or review of financial reports.

The Firm's Ability to Perform Engagements (Ref: Para. 34(b))

- A83. The consideration of whether the firm is able to perform engagements in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements includes determining that the firm, its personnel and others are able fulfill their responsibilities in relation to the relevant ethical requirements.
- A84. The judgements about whether the firm has the resources to perform the engagement may involve reviewing the specific circumstances of the engagement and considering whether the firm has the resources to perform the engagement within the reporting deadline, including whether there are:
 - Human resources with the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform the engagement. This includes:
 - Personnel to direct and supervise the engagement and take overall responsibility; and
 - Human resources with knowledge of the relevant industry or the underlying subject matter or criteria to be applied in the preparation of the subject matter information and experience with relevant regulatory or reporting requirements.
 - Experts that are available, if needed.
 - Engagement quality reviewers who meet the eligibility requirements in ASQM 2, if applicable.
 - Technological resources, for example, IT applications that enable the engagement team to perform procedures on the entity's data.
 - Intellectual resources, for example, a methodology, industry or subject matter-specific guides, or access to information sources.

The Firm's Financial and Operational Priorities (Ref: Para. 34(c))

A85. Financial priorities may focus on the profitability of the firm, and fees obtained for the performance of engagements have an effect on the firm's financial resources. Operational priorities may include strategic focus areas, such as growth of the firm's market share, industry specialisation or new service offerings. There may be circumstances when the firm is satisfied with the fee quoted for an engagement but, notwithstanding the firm's operational and financial priorities, it is not appropriate for the firm to accept or continue the engagement or client relationship (e.g., when the client lacks appropriate integrity and ethical values).

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A86. There may be other circumstances when the fee quoted for an engagement is not sufficient given the nature and circumstances of the engagement, and it may diminish the firm's ability to perform the engagement in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements. The APESB Code addresses fees and other types of remuneration, including circumstances that may create a threat to compliance with the fundamental principle of professional competence and due care if the fee quoted for an engagement is too low.

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

Information That Becomes Known Subsequent to Accepting or Continuing a Client Relationship or Specific Engagement (Ref: Para. 34(d))

- A87. Information that becomes known subsequent to accepting or continuing a client relationship or specific engagement may:
 - Have existed at the time of the firm's decision to accept or continue the client relationship or specific engagement and the firm was not aware of such information; or
 - Relate to new information that has arisen since the decision to accept or continue the client relationship or specific engagement.

The information may come to the attention of the firm in a variety of ways, including through the engagement partner or engagement team. For example, ASA 220¹¹ requires the engagement partner to communicate information to the firm that the engagement partner obtains that may have caused the firm to decline the audit engagement had that information been known by the firm prior to accepting or continuing the client relationship or specific engagement.

- A88. The firm's response to address circumstances when information becomes known subsequent to accepting or continuing a client relationship or specific engagement that may have affected the firm's decision to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement may include policies or procedures that set out the actions to be taken, including:
 - Undertaking appropriate consultation within the firm or with legal counsel.
 - Considering whether there is a professional, legal or regulatory requirement for the firm to continue the engagement.
 - Discussing with the appropriate level of the client's management and with those charged with governance or the engaging party the appropriate action that the firm might take based on the relevant facts and circumstances, and when it is determined that withdrawal is an appropriate action, informing them of this decision and the reasons for the withdrawal.
 - If the firm withdraws from the engagement, considering whether there is a professional, legal or regulatory requirement for the firm to report the withdrawal from the engagement, or from both the engagement and the client relationship, together with the reasons for the withdrawal, to regulatory authorities.
 - If the firm does not withdraw from the engagement, considering the effect of the information on the performance of the engagement and the additional actions to be taken by the firm or the engagement partner in managing quality at the engagement level (e.g., assigning more experienced personnel to the engagement, requiring an engagement quality review or increasing the extent and frequency of the engagement partner's direction and supervision of engagement team members and review of their work).

Circumstances When the Firm is Obligated to Accept or Continue a Client Relationship or Specific Engagement (Ref: Para. 35)

A89. There may be circumstances when the firm is obligated to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement. For example, jurisdictional law or regulation may impose an obligation on the firm to accept or continue a client engagement, or in the case of the public sector, the firm may be appointed through statutory provisions. In such circumstances, when

¹¹ Proposed ASA 220, paragraph 22

the firm becomes aware of information that would otherwise have caused the firm to decline or discontinue the engagement, the firm may design and implement additional responses to address the assessed quality risk(s) arising from the performance of such engagements. For example, the firm may assign more experienced personnel to the engagement or may require that an engagement quality review be performed in respect of the engagement. There may also be actions at the engagement level to manage quality when performing such engagements, for example, increasing the extent and frequency of the engagement partner's direction and supervision of engagement team members and review of their work.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A90. In some circumstances, a threat to the firm's integrity may arise as a result of being associated with the subject matter of the engagement. Relevant ethical requirements may include requirements addressing circumstances when the firm becomes associated with information that is false or misleading. For example, the APESB Code contains requirements addressing circumstances when the professional accountant becomes associated with information that contains a materially false or misleading statement, contains statements that have been provided recklessly or omits or obscures required information where such omission or obscurity would be misleading.

Engagement Performance (Ref: Para. 36–37)

A91. ASA 220¹² requires the engagement partner to take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the audit engagement.

Direction, Supervision and Review (Ref: Para. 36(a)(ii), 37(a))

- A92. The firm's policies or procedures addressing engagement supervision may include responsibilities for:
 - Tracking the progress of the engagement;
 - Considering the competence and capabilities of individual members of the engagement team, whether they have sufficient time to carry out their work, whether they understand their instructions and whether the work is being carried out in accordance with the planned approach to the engagement;
 - Addressing matters arising during the engagement, considering their significance and modifying the planned approach appropriately; and
 - Identifying matters for consultation or consideration by more experienced engagement team members during the engagement.
- A93. The policies or procedures addressing the review of the work of engagement teams may address matters such as the reviewer's consideration of whether:
 - The work has been performed in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements;
 - Significant matters have been raised for further consideration;
 - Appropriate consultations have been undertaken and the resulting conclusions have been documented and implemented;

¹² Proposed ASA 220, paragraph 11

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

- There is a need to revise the nature, timing and extent of work performed;
- The work performed supports the conclusions reached and is appropriately documented;
- The evidence obtained for an assurance engagement is sufficient and appropriate to support the report; and
- The objectives of the engagement procedures have been achieved.

Judgements and Conclusions (Ref: Para. 36(b))

- A94. The system of quality management creates an environment that supports engagement teams in making informed decisions about the courses of action that are appropriate given the nature and circumstances of the engagement. For example, the responses designed and implemented by the firm to establish a culture that promotes a commitment to quality or the responses addressing the hiring, development, retention and assignment of personnel with the competence and capabilities to perform engagements are important in supporting the engagement team in exercising appropriate professional judgement and, when applicable to the type of engagement, professional scepticism.
- A95. The firm's policies or procedures for consultation and differences of opinion and the performance of engagement quality reviews may also address assessed quality risks related to exercising appropriate professional judgement and, when applicable to the type of engagement, professional scepticism in planning and performing engagements. The firm may also design and implement other types of responses, including other forms of engagement reviews that are not engagement quality reviews. For example, for audits of financial reports, the firm's responses may include reviews of the engagement team's procedures on significant risks or reviews of certain matters by individuals within the firm who have specialised technical expertise. In some cases, these other types of engagement reviews may be undertaken in addition to an engagement quality review.
- A96. Professional scepticism supports the quality of judgements made on the engagement and, through these judgements, the overall effectiveness of the engagement team in performing the engagement. Other pronouncements of the AUASB may address the exercise of professional judgement or professional scepticism at the engagement level. For example, ASA 220¹³ explains the impediments to the exercise of professional scepticism at the engagement level and actions that the engagement partner may take to deal with such impediments.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

A97. In performing related services engagements, a practitioner is not required to gather evidence to express an opinion or conclusion on the information. However, the practitioner may form conclusions related to the performance of the engagement, for example, in a compilation engagement the practitioner may conclude that the compiled financial information is misleading and be required to take the appropriate actions set out in ISRS 4410 (Revised).¹⁴

Consultation (Ref: Para. 37(c))

A98. Consultation typically involves a discussion at the appropriate professional level, with individuals within or outside the firm who have specialised expertise, on difficult or contentious matters. While the firm establishes policies or procedures regarding the matters

¹³ Proposed ASA 220, paragraphs A27–A29

¹⁴ International Standard on Related Services (ISRS) 4410 (Revised), *Compilation Engagements*, paragraphs 34–36

on which consultation is required, the engagement team may identify other matters that require consultation.

A99. In considering its resource needs, the firm may consider the resources needed to enable consultation, for example, appropriate access to intellectual resources to facilitate research and personnel with the competence and capabilities to provide consultations. In some instances, such as a smaller firm, human resources to support consultation may only be available externally, for example, other firms, professional and regulatory bodies, or commercial organisations that provide such services. In such cases, paragraphs 64–65 apply.

Differences of Opinion (Ref: Para. 37(d))

A100. The policies or procedures addressing differences of opinion may be established in a manner that encourages identification of differences of opinion at an early stage. Procedures to resolve such differences may include consulting with another practitioner or firm, or a professional or regulatory body.

Engagements Subject to an Engagement Quality Review (Ref: Para. 37(e))

- A101. The categories of engagements for which an engagement quality review is required are not mutually exclusive. For example, many listed entities may be considered to be of significant public interest based on the characteristics described in paragraph A102. In addition, law or regulation may require engagement quality reviews to be performed for certain types of entities (e.g., entities with public accountability as defined in certain jurisdictions), or may include different criteria or characteristics that firms may use in determining whether an entity is of significant public interest.
- A102. In determining whether an entity is of significant public interest, the firm may take into account, for example, whether the entity has a large number and wide range of stakeholders, and the nature and size of the business. The firm also may consider the relative significance of factors such as these in the context of the jurisdiction or region in which the entity operates. Entities that the firm determines to be of significant public interest may include entities such as financial institutions (e.g. certain banks, insurance companies, and superannuation funds), and other entities such as certain not-for-profit organisations.
- A103. Law or regulation may require an engagement quality review to be performed, for example, for audit engagements for entities that:
 - Are characterised as public interest entities;
 - Operate in the public sector or which are recipients of government funding;
 - Operate in certain industries (e.g., financial institutions such as banks, insurance companies and superannuation funds);
 - Meet a specified asset threshold; or
 - Are under the management of a court or judicial process (e.g., liquidation).
- A104. Audits or other engagements for which the firm may determine that an engagement quality review is an appropriate response to assessed quality risks may include, for example, engagements:
 - That involve a high level of complexity or judgement, such as:
 - An audit of a financial report for an entity operating in an industry that typically has accounting estimates with a high degree of estimation uncertainty (e.g., certain large financial institutions or mining entities), or for

which uncertainties exist related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern.

- An assurance engagement that requires specialised skills and knowledge in measuring or evaluating the underlying subject matter against the applicable criteria (e.g., a greenhouse gas statement in which there are significant uncertainties associated with the quantities reported therein).
- Where issues have been encountered on the engagement, for example, audit engagements with recurring internal or external inspection findings, unremediated deficiencies in internal control, or a material restatement of comparative information in the financial report.
- For entities in emerging industries or that involve emerging technologies, or for which the firm has no previous experience.
- For which unusual circumstances are identified during the firm's acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements (e.g., a new client that had a disagreement with its previous auditor or assurance practitioner).
- That involve reporting on financial or non-financial information that is expected to be included in a regulatory filing, or that may involve a higher degree of judgement, such as pro forma financial information to be included in a prospectus.
- For entities for which concerns were expressed in communications from securities or prudential regulators.
- A105. In some cases, there may be no engagements for which an engagement quality review is required to be performed (e.g., when a firm does not perform audits of listed entities or entities of significant public interest and other responses to assessed quality risks are determined by the firm to be appropriate).

Considerations Specific to Public Sector Audit Organisations

- A106. Public sector entities may be of significant public interest due to their size and complexity, the range of their stakeholders and the nature of the services they provide. Factors to consider in determining whether a public sector entity is of significant public interest may include whether the entity is a national, regional or local government, or whether an opinion is being expressed on the entire entity or only certain units. Other factors to consider may include whether the entity is a corporation that is state owned or in which the state has a controlling stake or a stake with significant influence. Larger public sector entities may be determined to be of significant public interest due to their social or economic influence on the community or region in which the entity operates.
- A107. The firm may determine that an engagement quality review is an appropriate response to a quality risk for engagements in the public sector for which law or regulation establishes additional reporting requirements (e.g., a separate report on instances of non-compliance with law or regulation to the legislature or other governing body or communicating such instances in the auditor's report on the financial report).

Engagement Documentation (Ref: Para. 37(f))

A108. Law or regulation may prescribe the time limits by which the assembly of final engagement files for specific types of engagements is to be completed. Where no such time limits are prescribed in law or regulation, the firm ordinarily establishes an appropriate time limit. In the case of an audit of a financial report, for example, such a time limit would ordinarily not be more than 60 days after the date of the auditor's report.

- A109. The retention and maintenance of engagement documentation includes managing the safe custody, integrity, accessibility or retrievability of the underlying data. The retention and maintenance of engagement documentation may involve the use of IT applications. The integrity of engagement documentation may be compromised if it is altered, supplemented or deleted without the appropriate authorisation to do so, or if it is permanently lost or damaged. The firm's responses may therefore include actions to prevent unauthorised access and create audit trails that indicate access and changes to engagement documentation.
- A110. Relevant ethical requirements generally include provisions relating to confidentiality of client information, unless specific client authority has been given to disclose information, or there is a legal or professional duty or right to disclose the information. Specific law or regulation may impose additional obligations on personnel to maintain client confidentiality, particularly where data of a personal nature is concerned. Accordingly, the firm's responses for relevant ethical requirements may include responses for the retention and maintenance of engagement documentation. The firm's responses to address the confidentiality of client information may need to address all possible locations of client information, including engagement documentation, emails, firm servers or hard copy.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- A111. Law or regulation may prescribe the retention period for engagement documentation, or there may be generally accepted retention periods. If the retention periods are not prescribed in law or regulation, the firm may, in determining an appropriate retention period, consider the nature of the engagements performed by the firm and the firm's circumstances, for example, whether the engagement documentation is needed to provide a record of matters of continuing significance to future engagements. In the case of audits of financial reports, the retention period would ordinarily be no shorter than five years from the date of the auditor's report, or, if later, the date of the auditor's report on the group financial report, when applicable.
- A112. Unless otherwise specified by law or regulation, engagement documentation is the property of the firm. The firm may, at its discretion, make portions of, or extracts from, engagement documentation available to clients, provided such disclosure does not undermine the validity of the work performed, or, in the case of assurance engagements, the independence of the firm or its personnel.

Resources (Ref: Para. 38–39)

- A113. Resources for the purposes of the resources component include:
 - Human resources.
 - Technological resources, for example, IT applications.
 - Intellectual resources, for example, written policies or procedures, a methodology or guides.

Financial resources are also relevant to the system of quality management because they are necessary for obtaining, developing and maintaining the firm's human resources, technological resources and intellectual resources. The governance and leadership component addresses appropriate resource planning for all resources. Given the nature of financial resources, the quality objectives and responses in governance and leadership, such as those that address financial and operational priorities, address financial resources. This component addresses specific aspects of human resources, technological resources and intellectual resources.

A114. Resources are pervasive to all components of the system of quality management and therefore the firm's responses for resources will address assessed quality risks specific to resources, as

well as assessed quality risks for other components. Such responses may be designed and implemented individually for each component, or they may be designed and implemented for all components holistically.

- A115. Resources may be internal to the firm, or may be obtained externally from a network, network firm or service provider. In such circumstances, in addition to complying with the requirements for resources set out in this component, the firm is also required to comply with paragraphs 58–63 addressing network requirements or network services or paragraphs 64–65 addressing the use of resources from a service provider.
- A116. Other components include responses that may affect or relate to the resources component. For example, the information necessary to facilitate the appropriate assignment of personnel or the evaluation of personnel is identified, captured, processed and maintained through the information and communication component.

Human Resources (Ref: Para. 38(a)–38(d))

- A117. Competence is the ability of the individual to perform a role to a defined standard and goes beyond knowledge of principles, standards, concepts, facts, and procedures; it is the integration and application of technical competence, professional skills, and professional ethics, values and attitudes. Competence can be developed through a variety of methods, including professional education, continuing professional development, training, work experience or coaching of less experienced engagement team members by more experienced engagement team members.
- A118. Professional standards, law or regulation may establish requirements addressing competence and capabilities. For example, law or regulation of a jurisdiction may establish requirements for the professional licensing of engagement partners, including requirements regarding their professional education and continuing professional development.
- A119. The firm's responses that relate to the hiring, development and retention of personnel may include:
 - Recruitment strategies that focus on selecting individuals who have the ability to develop the competence necessary to consistently perform quality engagements or activities in relation to the operation of the system of quality management.
 - Training programs, which may form part of the firm's intellectual resources, to develop personnel's competence to enable them to perform their roles and responsibilities.
 - Policies addressing the continuing professional development of personnel, including personnel's responsibility to maintain an appropriate level of continuing professional development, and training resources and other assistance provided by the firm.
 - Evaluation mechanisms that establish competency areas and other performance measures, and facilitate the evaluation of personnel at appropriate intervals.
 - Compensation, promotion and other incentives, appropriate to the nature and circumstances of the firm, for all personnel, including engagement partners, the individuals assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the firm's system of quality management, and the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility the firm's system of quality management or other aspects of the system of quality management.
- A120. Human resources assigned to engagements or other roles may include personnel in a service delivery centre, human resources engaged by the firm (i.e., a service provider) or human resources from a network or network firm.

- A121. Timely evaluations and feedback help support and promote the continual development of the competence of personnel. Less formal methods of evaluation and feedback may be used, for example, in the case of smaller firms with fewer personnel.
- A122. Evaluations of personnel may be used by the firm in determining the compensation, promotion, or other incentives. In some circumstances, simple or informal incentives that are not based on monetary rewards may be appropriate.
- A123. The firm may take action for personnel who demonstrate actions or behaviours that negatively affect quality, including failing to demonstrate a commitment to quality, develop and maintain the competence to perform their role or implement the firm's responses as designed (e.g., an individual breaches the firm's policies or procedures related to independence). The consequences or actions taken by the firm may depend on the severity of the failure and the frequency of occurrence and may include, for example:
 - Training or other professional development;
 - Considering the effect of the matter on the evaluation, compensation, promotion or other incentives of the individual(s); or
 - Taking disciplinary action against the individual(s), if appropriate, depending on the severity of the failure and the frequency of occurrence.

Technological Resources (Ref: Para. 38(e))

- A124. Technological resources, which are typically IT applications, form part of the firm's IT environment. The firm's IT environment also includes the supporting IT infrastructure and the IT processes and human resources involved in those processes that the firm uses in the operation of its system of quality management:
 - An IT application is a program or a set of programs that is designed to perform a specific function directly for the user or, in some cases, for another application program.
 - and their related hardware and software.
 - The IT processes are the firm's processes to manage access to the IT environment, manage program changes or changes to the IT environment and manage IT operations, which includes monitoring the IT environment.
- A125. An IT application, IT infrastructure or IT process may serve multiple purposes within the firm and some of the purposes may be unrelated to the system of quality management. Only IT applications, IT infrastructure or IT processes that support the firm's system of quality management are relevant for the purposes of this ASQM.
- A126. In some cases, the network may require the firm to use an IT application, the firm may choose to use an IT application provided by the network, or the firm may purchase an IT application from a service provider. The firm may also use the network or a service provider to manage certain aspects of the IT processes.
- A127. Paragraph 40(a) addresses the firm's responsibility to establish an information system that supports the system of quality management, which may include the use of IT elements and records in the form of digital information. The firm may also use certain IT applications to enable the operation of various aspects of its system of quality management, for example, IT applications used to monitor compliance with relevant ethical requirements and record and maintain information about independence. Other IT applications may be implemented by the firm for use by engagement teams in performing engagements, for example, the firm may mandate the use of an IT application that facilitates the documentation of work performed or

the firm may offer an IT application to perform analyses of the client's information that engagement teams may choose to use.

- A128. The IT environment for a larger firm may be comprised of customised or integrated IT applications, with dedicated human resources to manage the IT infrastructure and IT processes. The IT environment for smaller firms may comprise IT applications that are commercial software, and the IT processes may involve authorising access to the IT applications and processing updates to the IT applications.
- A129. The use of IT applications or other aspects in the IT environment may give rise to quality risks, for example:
 - Inappropriate reliance on IT applications that are inaccurately processing data, processing inaccurate data, or both.
 - Unauthorised access to data that may result in breaches in confidentiality of information contained in the data, destruction of data or improper changes to data.
 - Potential loss of data or inability to access data or IT applications as required.
 - Unauthorised changes to IT applications or other aspects of the IT environment.
 - Failure to make necessary changes to IT applications or other aspects of the IT environment.

The nature and significance of these quality risks may vary based on whether, and the extent to which, the firm relies on IT, including automated controls, to enable the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management. General IT controls may be part of the responses designed and implemented by the firm to address quality risks identified and assessed by the firm.

- A130. When implementing an IT application, particularly a customised IT application that has been developed specifically for the firm, it is necessary for the firm to determine that the IT application operates appropriately. This determination may involve consideration of whether:
 - The data inputs are appropriate and confidentiality of the data is preserved.
 - The IT application operates as designed and achieves the purpose for which it is intended.
 - The outputs of the IT application achieve the purpose for which they will be used.
 - It is clear how users are required to interact with and use the IT application and users have appropriate support.
 - The general IT controls necessary to support the IT application's continued operation as designed are appropriate.

The firm may specifically prohibit the use of IT applications or features of IT applications, until such time that it has been determined that they operate appropriately and have been approved for use by the firm.

A131. Engagement teams may need training on how to use the IT applications appropriately. Furthermore, for certain IT applications, specialised skills may be needed to utilize the IT application effectively and the firm may need to specify procedures that set out how the engagement team operates the IT application. For example, in some instances the firm's IT application for the performance of engagements may require that the engagement team complete certain information about the client and the circumstances of the engagement in order to generate an appropriate engagement file template for the circumstances of the engagement.

Intellectual Resources (Ref: Para. 38(f))

- A132. Intellectual resources include the information the firm uses to promote consistency in the performance of engagements, for example, written policies or procedures, a methodology, industry or subject matter-specific guides, accounting guides, standardized documentation or access to information sources (e.g., subscriptions to websites that provide in-depth information about entities or other information that is typically used in the performance of engagements).
- A133. The intellectual resources may be made available to personnel through technological resources, for example, the firm's audit methodology may be embedded in the audit IT application that facilitates the planning and performance of the engagement. The firm may also need human resources to develop, implement and maintain its intellectual resources. Intellectual resources may also be dependent on relevant and reliable information that is identified, captured, processed and maintained through the firm's information and communication component.

Personnel's Use of Technological and Intellectual Resources (Ref: Para. 38(g))

- A134. The firm may establish policies or procedures regarding the use of the firm's technological and intellectual resources. Such policies or procedures may:
 - Require the use of certain IT applications or intellectual resources in performing engagements, for example, engagement teams may be required to use the firm's methodology when performing the engagement. They may also be required to use IT applications that facilitate the performance of the engagement and the archival of the engagement file.
 - Specify the qualifications or experience of personnel that are needed to use the IT application, for example, the firm may specify the qualifications or expertise needed to use an IT application for the performance of automated techniques and to interpret the results.
 - Set out how the technological or intellectual resources are to be used.

Information and Communication (Ref: Para. 40-41)

A135. Obtaining, generating or communicating information is generally an ongoing process that involves all personnel and encompasses the dissemination of information within the firm and externally. Information and communication is pervasive to all components of the system of quality management and therefore the firm's responses for information and communication address assessed quality risks specific to information and communication, as well as assessed quality risks for the other components. Such responses may be designed and implemented individually for each component, or for all components holistically. Paragraphs A51, A69, A76 and A116 explain and provide examples of how the information and communication components upports the design, implementation and operation of the other components of the system of the system of puality management.

The Firm's Information System (Ref: Para. 40(a))

- A136. Reliable information includes information that is accurate, complete, timely and valid to enable the proper functioning of the firm's system of quality management and to support decisions regarding the system of quality management.
- A137. The information system in smaller firms is likely to be less sophisticated than in larger firms and involve a less complex IT environment.

A138. The information system may include the use of manual or IT elements, which affect the manner in which information is identified, captured, processed, maintained and communicated. The procedures to identify, capture, process, maintain and communicate information may be enforced through IT applications, and in some cases may be embedded within the firm's responses for other components. For example, the firm's responses for monitoring and remediation may define how information from the results of the firm's monitoring activities is captured, processed, maintained and communicated. In addition, digital records may replace or supplement physical records. For example, the firm may use an IT application to obtain a documented confirmation of compliance with independence requirements from personnel.

Communication Within the Firm (Ref: Para. 40(b)–(d), 41(a)–(b))

- A139. The firm and its personnel share relevant information to enable the proper functioning of the firm's system of quality management. For example:
 - The firm communicates information to engagement teams, such as information that is obtained during the firm's acceptance and continuance process that is relevant to engagement teams in planning and performing engagements.
 - Engagement teams communicate information to the firm, for example, information about:
 - The client obtained during the performance of an engagement that may have caused the firm to decline the client relationship or specific engagement had that information been known prior to accepting or continuing the client relationship or specific engagement.
 - The operation of the firm's responses to assessed quality risks (e.g., concerns about the firm's processes for assigning personnel to engagements).

In some cases, the information communicated by the engagement team may indicate a deficiency in the firm's system of quality management.

• Personnel performing activities within the firm's system of quality management share information. For example, the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for compliance with independence requirements may communicate to the person with ultimate responsibility for the system of quality management changes in the independence requirements and how the firm's policies or procedures have been updated in response to such changes.

Two-way communication may also be among the various parties, for example, engagement teams may communicate information directly to the personnel performing activities within the firm's system of quality management.

- A140. Matters communicated by the firm to engagement teams or other personnel performing activities within the firm's system of quality management may include changes to the system of quality management, to the extent that the changes are relevant to their responsibilities and enables the personnel to take prompt and appropriate action in accordance with their responsibilities.
- A141. Responsibility for operating the responses designed and implemented by the firm may be assigned to:
 - The engagement team, as described in paragraph A62;
 - Personnel performing activities within the firm's system of quality management (e.g., assigning responsibility for the performance of an engagement quality review to an engagement quality reviewer); or

• A combination of the engagement team and personnel performing activities within the firm's system of quality management.

The firm may also use human resources external to the firm to assist in operating the responses.

Communication with External Parties (Ref: Para. 40(e), 41(c))

Communication Required by Law or Regulation (Ref: Para. 41(c)(i))

- A142. Law, regulation or professional standards may require the firm to communicate information to external parties. For example:
 - In circumstances when the firm becomes aware of non-compliance with laws and regulations by a client, relevant ethical requirements may require the firm to report the non-compliance with laws and regulations to an appropriate authority outside the client entity, or to consider whether such reporting is an appropriate action in the circumstances.
 - Law, regulation or professional standards may require the firm to publish a transparency report and may specify the nature of the information that is required to be included in the transparency report.

Communication with the Network (Ref: Para. 41(c)(ii))

A143. When the firm belongs to a network, frequent communication with the network supports the network in establishing network requirements and providing network services that promote the consistent performance of quality engagements. Furthermore, the network's communication of relevant information supports the firm in the design, implementation and operation of its system of quality management. Such communication may include matters related to independence, for example, in circumstances when relevant ethical requirements include requirements for independence that apply to network firms or employees of network firms.

Communication with Service Providers (Ref: Para. 41(c)(iii))

A144. When the firm uses a service provider, the service provider's communication of relevant information to the firm that affects the firm's system of quality management supports the firm in the design, implementation and operation of its system of quality management.

Communication to External Parties About the Firm's System of Quality Management (Ref: Para. 41(c)(iv))

- A145. The firm's ability to maintain stakeholder confidence in the quality of its engagements may be enhanced through effective two-way communication between the firm and its stakeholders. For example, stakeholders' perception of the quality of engagements performed by the firm may be improved when the firm is transparent about the activities that it has undertaken to address quality, and the effectiveness of those activities.
- External parties who may use information about the firm's system of quality management (*Ref: Para.* 41(c)(iv)(a))
- A146. External parties may include management or those charged with governance of the firm's clients, the firm's network or network firms, external oversight authorities, other firms who use the work of the firm in the performance of engagements (e.g., in relation to a group audit) or service providers. External parties may also include users of the firm's engagement reports, for example, current shareholders and credit providers of the entities for whom the firm performs engagements.

A147. The firm exercises professional judgement when taking into account whether there are external parties who may use information about the firm's system of quality management. Whether there are such external parties may depend on the nature of the engagements the firm performs and the types of entities for which such engagements are performed. For example, for a firm that performs audits of financial reports of listed entities or entities that may be of significant public interest described in paragraph A23, external parties such as shareholders of such entities may use a transparency report or similar publication to inform their understanding of the quality of engagements performed by the firm. On the other hand, for a firm that only performs compilation engagements, external parties who may use information about the firm's system of quality management may be limited, and they may obtain such information through discussions and direct interaction with the firm.

Nature and circumstances of the firm (Ref: Para. 41(c)(iv)(b))

A148. Factors that may affect the firm's operating environment include the nature and circumstances of the financial markets in which the firm operates and the understanding and interest that external parties have expressed about the engagements undertaken by the firm, and the firm's processes in performing the engagements.

Nature, timing, extent and content of communications to external parties about the system of quality management (Ref: Para. 41(c)(iv))

- A149. The form of communication to external parties may include a publication such as a transparency report or audit quality report, webpage, targeted communication to specific stakeholders (e.g., information about the results of the firm's monitoring and remediation process), or direct conversations with the external party.
- A150. The information that is communicated to external parties about the firm's system of quality management may depend on a variety of factors, including the form of the communication, the nature and circumstances of the firm and the external parties with whom the communication is being undertaken. For example, the communication may contain information about:
 - The nature and circumstances of the firm, such as the organisational structure and operating environment and whether it is part of a network.
 - The firm's governance and leadership, such as its culture and commitment to quality and information about the individuals responsible for the leadership of the firm.
 - Factors that contribute to quality engagements, for example, such information may be presented in the form of engagement quality indicators with appropriate narrative to explain the indicators.
 - The results of the firm's monitoring activities and external inspections, and how the firm has remediated identified deficiencies or is otherwise responding to them.
 - The evaluation undertaken in accordance with paragraph 55 of whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved, including the basis for the judgements made in undertaking the evaluation.
 - How the firm has responded to emerging developments and changes in the circumstances of the firm or its engagements, including how the system of quality management has been adapted to respond to such changes.
- A151. Information that is communicated to external parties about the firm's system of quality management that has the following attributes contributes to an enhanced understanding of the quality of the engagements performed by the firm:

- The information is specific to the circumstances of the firm and is prepared and presented in a timely manner. Relating the matters in the firm's communication directly to the specific circumstances of the firm may help to minimize the potential that such information becomes overly standardized and less useful over time.
- The information is presented in a clear and understandable manner that is neither misleading nor would inappropriately influence the users of the communication (e.g. the information is appropriately balanced towards positive and negative aspects of the matter being communicated).
- The information is accurate and complete in all material respects and does not contain information that is misleading.
- The information takes into consideration the information needs of the users for whom it is intended. In considering the information needs of the users, the firm may consider matters such as the level of detail that users would find meaningful and whether users have access to relevant information through other sources, for example, information located on the firm's website.
- A152. In circumstances when the firm is part of a network, it may be useful to provide information about the relationship between the firm and the network in certain external communications, such as a transparency report. Such information helps facilitate an understanding of the responsibilities of the firm and the network, and clarifies how the network requirements or network services promote the consistent performance of quality engagements across the network firms. Such information may include:
 - The nature of the relationship between the firm and the network and the overall structure of the network.
 - Requirements established by the network for the firm or network services that are used by the firm in its system of quality management.
 - Information about the overall scope and results of network monitoring activities across the network firms that the network has provided to the firm in accordance with paragraph 61, if applicable.

In some circumstances, the network may provide external communication about the above matters, for example, in the form of a network transparency report, which may support the firm in communicating the information.

A153. In some cases, law or regulation may preclude the firm from communicating information related to its system of quality management externally. For example, certain information may be subject to privacy or secrecy laws or regulations or the firm may be precluded from communicating certain information because of the duty of confidentiality under law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements.

Monitoring and Remediation Process (Ref: Para. 42–57)

- A154. In addition to enabling the firm's evaluation of the system of quality management, the monitoring and remediation process facilitates the improvement of engagement quality and the system of quality management.
- A155. Professional judgement is exercised in making various decisions within the monitoring and remediation process, including decisions about:
 - The nature, timing and extent of the monitoring activities, including the scope of inspection of engagements.

Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, Other Assurance Engagements and Related Services Engagements

- The evaluation of the findings from the monitoring activities, results of external inspections and other relevant information to determine whether deficiencies exist.
- How to respond to the findings from the monitoring activities, results of external inspections and other relevant information.
- The evaluation of the severity and pervasiveness of the identified deficiencies.
- Whether the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.

Designing and Performing Monitoring Activities (Ref: Para. 44–46)

- A156. The firm's monitoring activities may comprise ongoing monitoring activities, periodic monitoring activities or a combination of both. Ongoing monitoring activities are generally routine activities, built into the firm's processes and performed on a real-time basis, reacting to changing conditions, for example:
 - An IT application that continually monitors the permissibility of financial investments recorded by personnel as part of the firm's independence responses.
 - Inspection of in-process engagements that are focused on specific aspects of completed work.

Periodic monitoring activities are conducted at certain intervals by the firm, for example, inspection of training records to determine that personnel have attended training in accordance with the firm's policies or procedures or inspection of completed engagements. In most cases, ongoing monitoring activities identify deficiencies in the system of quality management in a timelier manner.

- A157. The purpose of a monitoring activity is to monitor the responses in the system of quality management. The system of quality management may include responses that are similar in nature to a monitoring activity but have a different purpose (e.g., responses that are designed to detect failures or shortcomings in the system of quality management so that they can prevent an assessed quality risk from occurring). For example, in some circumstances, an in-process review of engagement documentation may be designed as a monitoring activity as part of paragraph 45, in which case the findings from that review are subject to the requirements in paragraph 47. In other circumstances, an in-process review may be designed as a response to address an assessed quality risks in the engagement performance component or other components. Determining the purpose of the response is necessary in determining its design and implementation, and where it fits within the system of quality management (i.e., whether it is a response in monitoring and remediation or a response in another component).
- A158. The nature, timing and extent of the monitoring activities may be affected by factors such as:
 - The size, structure and organisation of the firm.
 - The involvement of the network in monitoring activities.
 - The resources that the firm intends to use to enable monitoring activities, for example, the use of IT applications in addition to human resources.
 - The design of the response subject to monitoring. For example, the response may comprise in-process reviews of engagement documentation of selected engagements by personnel who are not members of the engagement team. The extent of the review of the engagement documentation, the nature of the matters considered in the review, and how the results of the review are collated may affect the nature, scope and frequency of the monitoring activities over the in-process review.

A159. When performing monitoring activities, the firm may determine that changes to the nature, timing and extent of the monitoring activities are needed. For example, the firm may identify findings that indicate the need for more extensive monitoring activities.

The Design of the Response and the Assessed Quality Risks (Ref: Para. 44(a))

- A160. The nature, timing and extent of the firm's monitoring activities may be more robust for areas of the system of quality management where the assessed quality risks are greater and the related responses are more extensive or rigorous. For example, the firm may perform more extensive monitoring activities over compliance with independence requirements for audits of financial reports than for other types of engagements.
- A161. The reasons for the assessments given to the assessed quality risks may include characteristics associated with certain engagements, for example:
 - Engagements performed in respect of certain entities (e.g., a listed entity or entity that may be of significant public interest).
 - Engagements where the firm or engagement partner are inexperienced, for example, a new industry, a new service offering or new engagement partner.
 - Engagements that have been subject to external inspection and which had negative findings, or engagements where the findings of previous monitoring activities resulted in identified deficiencies.
 - Engagements where the firm's engagement acceptance and continuance procedures indicated that matters may exist that may increase the engagement risk.

Changes in Factors That Have Affected the System of Quality Management or Changes in the System of Quality Management (Ref: Para. 44(c))

- A162. Changes in factors that have affected the firm's system of quality management include changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements (e.g., a new service offered by the firm or changes in the firm's environment). Changes in the system of quality management include:
 - Changes to address an identified deficiency in the system of quality management.
 - Changes to the responses, for example, because they have become obsolete over time or more effective responses are designed and implemented, such as the use of IT applications to replace manual processes.

When changes occur, previous monitoring activities undertaken by the firm may no longer provide the firm with information to support the evaluation of the system of quality management and, therefore, the firm's monitoring activities may include monitoring of those areas of change.

Previous Monitoring Activities (Ref: Para. 44(d), 50)

- A163. The findings from the firm's previous monitoring activities may indicate areas of focus for the monitoring activities, for example, monitoring activities may need to continue to be undertaken in certain areas where there is a history of deficiencies. Furthermore, the monitoring activities may need to evaluate the effectiveness of the remedial actions that have been implemented to address deficiencies previously identified.
- A164. Although areas of the system of quality management may not have changed, previous monitoring activities undertaken by the firm may no longer provide the firm with information to support the evaluation of areas that have not changed, for example, because of the time that has elapsed since the monitoring activities were undertaken.

Other Relevant Information (Ref: Para. 44(e), 47)

A165. Examples of sources of other relevant information may include:

- Information communicated by the network in accordance with paragraphs 60(c) and 61 about the firm's system of quality management, including the network requirements or network services that the firm has included in its system of quality management.
- Information communicated by a service provider about the resources the firm uses in its system of quality management.
- Concerns about the commitment to quality of the firm or its personnel, communicated in accordance with paragraph 24(c).
- The results of industry-wide reviews undertaken by an external oversight authority of focus areas related to systems of quality management or the performance of engagements.
- Other reviews undertaken by an external oversight authority, for example, informal reviews undertaken by an external oversight authority to assess a firm's preparation for the implementation of a new professional standard, or reviews of specific areas of focus that contribute to the improvement of engagement quality.
- Information from regulatory actions and litigation against the firm or other firms in the jurisdiction that may highlight areas for the firm to consider.
- A material restatement of a financial report, an engagement report that required reissuance or litigation against the firm.
- A166. The results of external inspections or other relevant information may indicate findings or deficiencies in previous monitoring activities undertaken by the firm, which may affect the firm's consideration of whether the nature, scope and frequency of previous monitoring activities were appropriate.
- A167. External inspections are not a substitute for the firm's internal monitoring activities. Nevertheless, the results of external inspections may inform the nature, timing and extent of the monitoring activities.

Engagement Inspections (Ref: Para. 45)

- A168. The relevant factors in paragraph 44 affect the extent and frequency of selection of in-process or completed engagements or engagement partners for inspection. Other factors that may also affect the extent and frequency of selection of in-process or completed engagements or engagement partners for inspection include:
 - The nature, timing and extent of other monitoring activities undertaken by the firm at the engagement level.
 - The varying nature of the engagements performed by the firm.
 - The size of the firm, including the number and geographic location of offices and the nature and complexity of the firm's practice and organisation.
- A169. The firm may establish different cyclical periods for inspecting engagement partners according to the categories of engagements they perform, for example, the firm may determine that the cyclical period for an engagement partner performing audits of financial reports is every three years, whereas a longer period may be appropriate for engagement partners performing only compilation engagements. The cycle of the inspection may be based on time

(i.e., every three years as illustrated) or another factor, such as the number of engagements performed. The cyclical period may also be affected by the nature, timing and extent of inspection of in-process engagements and the results thereof.

A170. The purpose of an inspection of an in-process or completed engagement depends on how the inspection has been designed by the firm. Ordinarily, the inspection of an in-process or completed engagement includes determining that responses designed to be implemented at the engagement level have been implemented, for example, the firm may determine whether engagement teams have applied the firm's methodology appropriately.

Individuals Performing the Monitoring Activities (Ref: Para. 46)

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- A171. As described in paragraph A65, objectivity is a fundamental principle of the APESB Code, and the provisions of relevant ethical requirements are relevant in designing the policies or procedures addressing the objectivity of the individuals performing the monitoring activities. For example, a self-review threat may arise when an individual who performs:
 - An inspection of an engagement was:
 - In the case of an audit of a financial report, an engagement team member or the engagement quality reviewer of that engagement or an engagement for a subsequent financial period; or
 - For all other engagements, an engagement team member or the engagement quality reviewer of that engagement.
 - Another type of monitoring activity had participated in designing, executing or operating the response being monitored.

Evaluating Findings and Identifying Deficiencies (Ref: Para. 47)

A172. Findings represent the information accumulated from the performance of monitoring activities and may also include the results of external inspections and other relevant information about the firm's system of quality management. Findings may be positive or negative in nature.

Positive Findings

A173. Positive findings may be useful to the firm as they indicate practices that the firm can support or apply more extensively, for example, across all engagements. They may also highlight opportunities for the firm to enhance the system of quality management.

Negative Findings

- A174. Negative findings are considered by the firm in accordance with paragraph 47 to determine whether there are deficiencies in the system of quality management. Not all negative findings are a deficiency in the system of quality management.
- A175. Factors that a firm may consider in determining whether a finding is a deficiency include:
 - The nature of the finding, for example, a finding that indicates that personnel have not adhered to the firm's policies or procedures may be indicative of a deficiency in the culture of the firm.

- The design of the monitoring activity from which the finding arose, for example, the firm may consider the tolerable error rate of the activity and whether it was designed to focus on specific areas of risk or the whole population.
- The extent of the monitoring activity from which the finding arose, including the size of sample selected relative to the size of the entire population.
- The extent of the findings in relation to the sample of the population covered by the monitoring activity.
- If the finding relates to a response:
 - The nature of the assessed quality risk to which the response relates, and the extent to which the finding indicates that the assessed quality risk has not been addressed.
 - Whether there are other responses that address the same assessed quality risk and whether there are findings for those responses.
- Whether the finding, in combination with other findings, indicate a trend or systemic issue.
- A176. A finding may affect multiple responses across different components. For example, a finding that suggests that personnel assigned to an engagement were not knowledgeable about the procedures they performed in the engagement may indicate deficiencies in responses related to human resources (i.e., inappropriate competence and capabilities) as well as those related to engagement performance (i.e., inappropriate direction, supervision and review).
- A177. The effectiveness of the monitoring and remediation process may be evaluated through considering the findings arising from the monitoring activities, the results of external inspections and other relevant information source (e.g., network monitoring activities or complaints and allegations). For example, external inspection findings may indicate deficiencies in the system of quality management that have not been identified by the firm's monitoring and remediation process, which highlight a deficiency in that process.

Root Cause of the Identified Deficiencies (Ref: Para. 48(a))

- A178. This ASQM requires the firm to investigate the root cause(s) of identified deficiencies. As highlighted in paragraph A174, not all negative findings from the performance of monitoring activities, results of external inspections and other relevant information are a deficiency in the system of quality management. Although not required by this ASQM, investigating the root cause of positive findings may reveal opportunities for the firm to improve, or further enhance, the system of quality management. Identifying the root cause of positive findings on engagements where identified deficiencies did not exist may also help the firm to identify the root causes of identified deficiencies that existed in other engagements and may assist the firm in determining how to remediate identified deficiencies.
- A179. The objective of investigating the root cause(s) of identified deficiencies is to understand the underlying circumstances that caused the deficiencies. An improved understanding of the underlying cause(s) of identified deficiencies may:
 - Facilitate the design and implementation of more effective actions to address identified deficiencies.
 - Directly contribute to the improvement of quality at the engagement level through the participation of engagement teams in the root cause analysis process.

- Enable those assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability or operational responsibility for the system of quality management to proactively monitor actions taken to address identified deficiencies.
- Facilitate more effective communication to personnel by explaining the actual root cause(s) of identified deficiencies, rather than the deficiencies themselves.
- A180. Performing a root cause analysis generally involves those performing the assessment exercising professional judgement based on the evidence available. The firm's policies or procedures for the nature, timing and extent of the procedures to investigate the root cause(s) of identified deficiencies are required to take into account the nature of the deficiencies and their possible severity which may include:
 - The nature and extent of the deficiency, for example, a deficiency that results in an engagement report being inappropriate has greater severity than a deficiency that resulted in the firm's policies or procedures not being followed but the engagement report was still appropriate.
 - Whether the deficiency, in combination with all other identified deficiencies, indicates a trend or systemic issue, for example, there are multiple engagement reports affected by the same deficiency or certain policies or procedures appear to have high rates of non-compliance.

The procedures undertaken to understand the root cause(s) of an identified deficiency may be simple, for example, in circumstances when the possible severity of the deficiency is not significant, the root cause is apparent or, in the case of a smaller firm, those performing the root cause analysis are familiar with a variety of information to inform their understanding.

- A181. There may be multiple root cause(s) of an identified deficiency, the root cause(s) may be complex and interrelated, and the root cause(s) may exist across various components of the firm's system of quality management. Furthermore, a root cause of an identified deficiency may relate to more than one identified deficiency or affect multiple components, for example, in circumstances when the root cause relates to an aspect of the firm's risk assessment process. There may also be circumstances when a single root cause relates to multiple identified deficiencies.
- A182. Identifying a root cause(s) that is appropriately specific may support the firm's process for remediating identified deficiencies. For example, it may be identified that an engagement team did not exercise sufficient professional scepticism in complex areas of management judgement. However, the underlying root cause of this issue may relate to another matter, such as a cultural environment that does not encourage engagement team members to challenge individuals with greater authority or insufficient direction, supervision and review on the engagement.

Evaluating the Severity and Pervasiveness of Identified Deficiencies (Ref: Para. 48(b))

- A183. Factors the firm may consider in evaluating the severity and pervasiveness of an identified deficiency include:
 - The nature of the identified deficiency, including whether it relates to a quality objective, quality risk or a response;
 - The root cause(s) of the identified deficiency;
 - The frequency with which the underlying finding occurred; and
 - The magnitude of the identified deficiency, the rate at which it occurred and the duration of time that it existed.

Responding to Identified Deficiencies (Ref: Para. 49–50)

- A184. The nature, timing and extent of remedial actions may depend on a variety of other factors, including:
 - The root cause(s), for example, whether it relates to an individual engagement, a certain category of engagements, or is more pervasive throughout the firm.
 - The severity and pervasiveness of the identified deficiency and therefore the urgency in which it needs to be addressed.
 - The effectiveness of the remedial actions in addressing the root cause(s), for example, the firm may need to implement more than one remedial action in order to effectively address the root cause(s), or may need to implement remedial actions as interim measures until the firm is able to implement more effective remedial actions.

Findings About a Particular Engagement (Ref: Para. 51)

- A185. In circumstances when procedures were omitted or the report issued is inappropriate, the action taken by the firm may include:
 - Consulting with appropriate individuals within the firm regarding the appropriate action.
 - Discussing the matter with management of the entity or those charged with governance.
 - Performing the omitted procedures.

The actions taken to correct the work performed for a specific engagement does not relieve the firm of the responsibility to investigate the root cause(s) of the identified deficiency related to the engagement.

Ongoing Communication Related to the Monitoring and Remediation (Ref: Para. 52–54)

- A186. The information communicated about the monitoring and remediation to the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management and the individual(s) assigned operational responsibility for the system of quality management provides the basis for the evaluation of the system of quality management, as required by paragraph 55.
- A187. In determining the information to be communicated to personnel, including the nature and extent of such communication, the firm may consider the type of information that is relevant to the particular recipients, including the information needs of the recipients, as a result of their defined roles and responsibilities. For example:
 - Information communicated to engagement teams may be focused on deficiencies that have been identified at an engagement level and therefore are likely to be relevant. It may also include positive findings that indicate practices that engagement teams could apply more extensively. In considering the information needs of the engagement team regarding such information. For example, proposed ASA 220¹⁵ requires the engagement team to determine the relevance and effect on the audit of the results of the monitoring and remediation process, and to take appropriate action.

¹⁵ Proposed ASA 220, paragraph 36(b)

• Information communicated to all personnel may relate to matters relevant to compliance with the firm's independence policies or procedures as such policies or procedures may apply to all personnel.

Communicating the root cause(s) of identified deficiencies may increase awareness and understanding of why deficiencies occurred, which may influence the behaviour of engagement teams and personnel. Communicating remedial actions may enable the implementation of such actions in a more proactive manner.

Evaluating the System of Quality Management (Ref: Para. 55–57)

- A188. An effective system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.
- A189. The individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management uses the information obtained in accordance with paragraph 52 in evaluating the effectiveness of the system of quality management. The nature and extent of the information, including how the information is communicated, will vary based on the nature and circumstances of the firm. For example, in a smaller firm, the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management may be directly involved in the monitoring and remediation and will therefore be aware of the information that supports the evaluation of the system of quality management. However, in a larger firm, the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management may not have direct involvement in the monitoring and remediation process. Therefore, the individuals assigned operational responsibility for various aspects of the system of quality management may need to collate, summarise and present the information that supports the evaluation of the system of quality management in a manner that enables the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management may need to collate, summarise and present the information that supports the evaluation of the system of quality management in a manner that enables the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management to form an appropriate conclusion.
- A190. Prompt and appropriate action when the evaluation indicates that the system does not provide reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved may include:
 - Taking steps to determine whether the reports already issued by the firm were appropriate.
 - Taking measures to confirm that reports not yet issued by the firm are appropriate in the circumstances.
 - Obtaining legal advice.
- A191. Circumstances when it may be appropriate for the firm to communicate to external parties that the system does not provide reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved include:
 - When the firm belongs to a network and the information is relevant to the network or other firms within the network who use the work performed by the firm, for example, in the case of a group audit.
 - When a report issued by the firm is determined to be inappropriate as a result of the failure of the system of quality management, and management or those charged with governance of the entity need to be informed.

In some circumstances, the firm may be required by law or regulation to communicate to an oversight authority or a regulatory body that the system does not provide reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.

Network Requirements or Network Services (Ref: Para. 58–63)

A192. Network requirements may include, for example:

- Requirements for the firm to include quality objectives or identified quality risks in the firm's system of quality management that are common across the network firms.
- Requirements for the firm to include responses, including resources, in the firm's system of quality management that are common across the network firms. Such responses designed by the network may include network policies or procedures that specify the leadership roles and responsibilities, including how the firm is expected to assign authority and responsibility within the firm, network developed methodologies for the performance of engagements or IT applications.
- Requirements that the firm be subject to the network's monitoring activities. These monitoring activities may relate to network requirements (e.g., monitoring that the firm has implemented the network's methodology appropriately), or to the firm's system of quality management in general.
- A193. Examples of network services include services or resources that are optional for the firm to use as a response in its system of quality management, such as voluntary training programs, or a service delivery centre established at the network level, or by another firm or group of firms within the same network.
- A194. The network may establish responsibilities for the firm in implementing the network requirements or network services. For example, in the case of implementing an IT application developed by the network, the firm may need to have the appropriate IT infrastructure and IT processes in place.
- A195. The firm's understanding of the network requirements or network services and the firm's responsibilities relating to the implementation thereof may be obtained through enquiries of, or documentation provided by, the network about matters such as:
 - The network's governance and leadership.
 - The procedures undertaken by the network in designing, implementing and, if applicable, operating, the network requirements or network services.
 - How the network identifies and responds to changes that affect the network requirements or network services or other information, for example, changes in the professional standards or information that indicates a deficiency in the network requirements or network services.
 - How the network monitors the appropriateness of the network requirements or network services, which may include through the network firms' monitoring activities, and the network's processes for remediating identified deficiencies
- A196. Paragraph 41(c) requires the firm to establish policies or procedures that address the nature, timing, extent and content of communication with the network, for example, the matters described in paragraphs 58 and A195.

The Firm's Risk Assessment Process (Ref: Para. 59)

- A197. The network requirements or network services may affect the firm's system of quality management in the following ways:
 - The firm may need to identify and assess quality risks for quality objectives provided by the network.

- The firm may need to design and implement responses to address quality risks provided by the network, or the firm may need to assess the quality risks provided by the network.
- The firm may identify additional quality risks arising from responses provided by the network, for example, quality risks may arise from the implementation of a network IT application.
- A198. The network requirements or network services may need to be adapted or supplemented by the firm to appropriately address the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements, for example:
 - The quality objectives provided by the network may not be at a sufficient level of granularity for the firm, or additional quality objectives may need to be established.
 - The firm may identify additional quality risks that have not been identified by the network.
 - The responses provided by the network may not be designed to address the assessed quality risks and the reasons for the assessments given to the quality risks.

Monitoring and Remediation Process (Ref: Para. 60–63)

- A199. The monitoring activities undertaken by the network may affect the nature, timing and extent of the firm's monitoring activities. For example, the network may undertake cyclical inspections of completed engagements of the firm, which may affect the extent of inspections of in-process or completed engagements undertaken by the firm.
- A200. The results of the network's monitoring activities of the firm's system of quality management may include information such as:
 - A description of the monitoring activities, including their nature, timing and extent;
 - Findings from the monitoring activities and deficiencies identified; and
 - The network's evaluation of the root cause(s) of the identified deficiencies, the assessed effect of the deficiencies and recommended remedial actions.
- A201. The information about the overall scope and results of the monitoring activities across the network firms' systems of quality management may highlight trends and common areas of identified deficiencies across the network, or examples of quality that may be replicated across the network. Such information may be used by the firm to determine the nature, timing and extent of its monitoring activities. It may also indicate deficiencies in network requirements or network services used by the firm in its system of quality management.
- A202. In some circumstances, the firm may obtain information from the network about deficiencies identified in a network firm's system of quality management that affects the firm, for example, when the network firm performs work for the firm's engagements, such as in the capacity of a component auditor. The network may also gather information from the network firms regarding the results of external inspections over the network firms' systems of quality management. In some instances, law or regulation in a particular jurisdiction may prevent the network from sharing information with other firms within the network or may restrict the specificity of such information.
- A203. Paragraph 42 requires the firm to evaluate the design, implementation and operation of the components of the system of quality management, which includes the network requirements or network services used by the firm. The network requirements or network services may be monitored by the network, the firm, or a combination of both. For example, the network may undertake monitoring activities at a network level for a common methodology, however

various monitoring activities at a firm level may support the evaluation of the methodology, including engagement inspections.

A204. In some cases the firm may determine that the remedial actions by the network are inadequate, or such remedial actions may take time to effectively address the identified deficiency. In such cases, the firm may need to implement its own remedial actions to address the identified deficiency until such time as the network has effectively addressed the deficiency.

Service Providers (Ref: Para. 64–65)

- A205. The firm may use human resources, technological resources or intellectual resources that are obtained from a service provider. The service providers used by the firm include individuals or organisations that are external to the firm, excluding networks, network firms or other structures or organisations in the network. Examples of resources from a service provider include:
 - Human resources used to perform the firm's monitoring activities or engagement quality reviews, or to provide consultation on technical matters.
 - A commercial IT application used to perform audit engagements.
 - Human resources used in the performance of engagements, for example, to attend a physical inventory count or inspect physical fixed assets at a remote location.
 - External experts used in the performance of engagements. In such cases, there may be requirements in the other AUASB standards that address the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the external expert, understanding of the expert and agreement with the expert which apply in conjunction with the requirements of this ASQM.¹⁶
 - The use of resources from a service provider does not include using the work of an entity's internal audit function in the performance of engagements, in accordance with ASA 610.¹⁷
- A206. The determination of whether the matters described in paragraph 64 are relevant for a service provider depends on a variety of factors including:
 - The nature of the resources provided by the service provider, including how and the extent to which it will be used within the firm.
 - The reasons for the assessments given to the assessed quality risks to which the resource relates.
 - Whether the resource itself gives rise to quality risks. For example, when the firm uses human resources from a service provider in the performance of engagements, there may be a quality risk that such resources do not have the competence and capabilities to perform the engagement, exercise inappropriate judgement when performing the engagement, do not implement the firm's responses at the engagement level or do not fulfill their responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements. Such quality risks may also affect the management of quality at the engagement level. For example, in circumstances when the firm uses human resources from a service provider to assist in the performance of engagement procedures, there may be a need for the engagement partner to have greater oversight on a more frequent basis and perform more in-depth reviews of work performed by the individual.

¹⁶ See, for example, ASA 620, *Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert.*

¹⁷ ASA 610, Using the Work of Internal Auditors

- A207. The firm may establish policies or procedures that address circumstances when a service provider is used in the performance of engagements that set out the responsibility of the engagement team when engaging a service provider, which may include responsibility for certain matters in paragraph 64.
- A208. Obtaining an understanding of the service provider may include understanding the conditions of the service, for example, how often updates will be provided for an IT application, limitations on the use of the IT application and how the service provider addresses confidentiality of data. Paragraph 41(c)(iii) requires the firm to establish policies or procedures that address the nature, timing, extent and content of communication with the service provider, for example, information to support the firm's understanding of the service provider and use of the resource (e.g., updates or changes to the resource or deficiencies in the resource).
- A209. The firm's responsibilities in using the service provider may include matters such as the actions the firm needs to take in order to implement the resource or information the firm needs to communicate to the service provider in order that the resource can function effectively. For example, in the case of an IT application, the firm may need to have appropriate supporting IT infrastructure and IT processes in place.
- A210. In determining whether the resource is appropriate, the firm may make enquiries of the service provider or request documentation from the service provider about matters such as:
 - For human resources, the qualifications, experience and location of the individuals, including professional licenses or membership obligations, and how they develop and maintain the appropriate competence to perform the services.
 - For technological or intellectual resources, the procedures undertaken by the service provider in designing, implementing and operating the resources.
 - How the service provider identifies and responds to changes that affect the resources, for example, changes in the professional standards or information that indicates a deficiency in the resources;
 - How the resource will be evaluated, monitored or remediated by the service provider.

There may be circumstances when the service provider supplies the firm with an assurance report on the description and design of their controls over the resource, and in some circumstances, it may also include assurance on the operating effectiveness of such controls.

Documentation (Ref: Para. 66–69)

- A211. Documentation provides evidence that the firm complies with this ASQM, as well as law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements. It may also be useful for training personnel, ensuring the retention of organisational knowledge and providing a history of the basis for decisions made by the firm about its system of quality management. It is neither necessary nor practicable for the firm to document every matter considered, or judgement made, about its system of quality management. Furthermore, compliance with this ASQM may be evidenced by the firm through its information and communication component, documents or other written materials, or IT applications that are integral to the components of the system of quality management.
- A212. Documentation may take the form of formal written manuals, checklists and forms, may be informally documented (e.g., e-mail communication or postings on websites), or may be held in IT applications or other digital forms (e.g., in databases). Factors that may affect the firm's judgements about the form, content and extent of documentation may include:
 - The size of the firm and the number of offices;

- The nature and complexity of the firm's practice and organisation;
- The types of services the firm provides and the nature of the clients to whom services are provided; and
- The nature and complexity of the matter being documented, for example, whether it relates to an aspect of the system of quality management that has changed or an area of greater quality risk.

In a smaller firm, it may not be necessary to have documentation supporting matters communicated because informal communication methods may be effective. Nevertheless, the firm may determine it appropriate to document such communications in order to provide evidence that they occurred.

Aus The AUASB will deliberate whether a modification may be required to the below paragraph to reflect Australian laws and regulations considered appropriate in Australia. See Table 2 in the attachment to this exposure draft for more information.

- A213. In some instances, an external oversight authority may establish documentation requirements, either formally or informally, for example, as a result of the outcome of external inspection findings. Relevant ethical requirements may also include specific requirements addressing documentation, for example, the APESB Code requires documentation of particular matters, including certain situations related to conflicts of interest, non-compliance with laws and regulations and independence.
- A214. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate for the firm to document its process and analyses for establishing the quality objectives, identifying and assessing quality risks and designing responses to such risks, to provide a history of the basis for decisions made by the firm about its system of quality management.

Appendix 1

(Ref: Para.8)

The Components of a System of Quality Management

1. This appendix describes the eight components of a firm's system of quality management. The components in this ASQM have similarities to the components of internal control described in the Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway Commission Internal Control – Integrated Framework. For example, the governance and leadership component is similar to the entity's control environment and the firm's risk assessment process is similar to the entity's risk assessment process.

Governance and Leadership

2. The governance and leadership component creates the environment in which the other components of the system of quality management operate because it addresses the firm's culture, decision-making process, actions, organisational structure and leadership. The governance and leadership component also provides the basis for the system of quality management because the firm needs to establish structures, reporting lines and appropriate authority and responsibility in order that the other components of the system of quality management can be developed. For example, in order to establish a system of quality management, the firm needs to identify the individual(s) responsible for its development. Accordingly, the governance and leadership component has a pervasive effect on the system of quality management and the other components cannot be effective if the environment in which they operate is not appropriate.

The Firm's Risk Assessment Process

- 3. The firm's risk assessment process sets out the process the firm is required to follow in implementing the risk-based approach to quality management, which consists of establishing quality objectives, identifying and assessing quality risks to the achievement of the quality objectives and designing and implementing responses to address the assessed quality risks.
- 4. The firm is required to establish the quality objectives set out in this ASQM and additional quality objectives beyond those required by this ASQM, when those objectives are necessary to achieve the objective of this ASQM.
- 5. Quality risks arise from conditions, events, circumstances, actions or inactions that affect the achievement of the quality objectives, and which are associated with the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements. For example:
 - (a) *Nature and circumstances of the firm*: The firm may have a service delivery centre that includes personnel who perform specific tasks for engagement teams. This may create, or increase the likelihood of, the quality risks for the appropriate direction and supervision of the engagement team and review of the work performed because the personnel may not be in the same location as the engagement partner or the engagement team.
 - (b) Nature and circumstances of the engagements: The firm may only perform related services engagements and because of the nature of such engagements, the firm may not identify any quality risks relating to compliance with independence requirements, because independence may not be relevant. In relation to the types of entities for which engagements are undertaken, the firm may perform engagements for entities in a particular industry, such as banks, insurance companies and superannuation funds. This may create the quality risk that personnel do not have the appropriate knowledge of the industry to perform the engagement.

The nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements also affect the assessment of the likelihood of the identified quality risks occurring and the significance of the effect of the identified quality risk on the achievement of the quality objectives.

- 6. The responses designed and implemented by the firm consist of:
 - (a) The responses required by this ASQM, which are organised by component; and
 - (b) Additional responses determined by the firm.

The responses required by this ASQM alone will not be sufficient to address all of the firm's assessed quality risks for the quality objectives that are required to be established by this ASQM.

- 7. The responses designed and implemented by the firm, including the responses required by this ASQM, are affected by the nature and circumstances of the firm and its engagements. For example:
 - (a) *Nature and circumstances of the firm*: In circumstances when the firm has a service delivery centre that includes personnel who perform specific tasks for engagement teams, the firm may obtain technology to facilitate interaction between the engagement partner and personnel located in the central location, to support appropriate direction and supervision.
 - (b) *Nature and circumstances of the engagements*: In circumstances when the firm performs engagements in a particular industry, the firm may provide training for personnel on matters unique to that industry, or recruit personnel with experience in the industry.
- 8. The firm's processes for establishing quality objectives, identifying and assessing quality risks and designing and implementing responses includes identifying changes in the nature and circumstances of the firm or its engagements and modifying the quality objectives, quality risks or responses, as appropriate, for changes in the matters described above.

Relevant Ethical Requirements

9. The relevant ethical requirements component comprises the firm's processes for managing compliance with relevant ethical requirements, in order that the firm, its personnel and others subject to relevant ethical requirements. The processes include how threats to complying with relevant ethical requirements are identified, assessed and addressed and the firm's responses to breaches of the relevant ethical requirements. Relevant ethical requirements include those related to independence.

Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements

10. The acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements comprises the firm's processes for consideration of matters in determining whether to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement. Such matters include the nature and circumstances of the engagement, the integrity and ethical values of the client, including management, and, when appropriate, those charged with governance and the firm's ability to perform the engagement in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements. This component also requires that the firm's financial and operational priorities do not lead to inappropriate judgements about whether to accept or continue a client relationship or specific engagement.

Engagement Performance

11. The engagement performance component comprises the firm's actions to promote and support the consistent performance of quality engagements in accordance with professional standards and legal and regulatory requirements. This includes how the firm supports engagement teams in exercising professional judgement and, when applicable to the nature and circumstances of the engagement, exercising professional scepticism. Matters addressed in this component include the responsibilities of the engagement team, including in relation to direction, supervision and review, consultation, differences of opinion, the assembly and retention of documentation and engagement quality reviews.

Resources

12. The resources component comprises the firm's processes for obtaining, developing, using, maintaining, allocating or assigning resources to enable the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management. The resources relevant to the firm's system of quality management include human resources, technological resources and intellectual resources. Furthermore, financial resources are needed for obtaining, developing and maintaining the other types of resources. The firm may have competing priorities that affect the allocation or assignment of resources, however, the firm is required to have resource planning, and obtain, allocate or assign resources in a manner that supports the firm's commitment to quality and enables the design, implementation and operation of the firm's system of quality management.

Information and Communication

- 13. The information and communication component comprises the firm's actions to obtain, generate or use relevant information to enable the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management. This includes establishing an information system, whether through the use of manual or automated elements, to identify, capture, process and maintain relevant and reliable information.
- 14. The information and communication component also comprises two-way communication within the firm and communication with external parties, such as information about the firm's system of quality management. Such communication assists external parties in understanding the firm's activities to address quality through its system of quality management and the effectiveness of the firm's system.

Monitoring and Remediation Process

- 15. Monitoring comprises the firm's processes for evaluating the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management. It involves undertaking ongoing and periodic monitoring activities, and identifying and evaluating deficiencies in the system of quality management based on the findings from the monitoring activities, results of external inspections or other information sources (e.g., through the firm's complaints and allegations process). In order to understand how the deficiencies arose, this ASQM also requires the firm to understand the root cause of the identified deficiencies.
- 16. Remediation comprises the firm's actions for responding to identified deficiencies, which includes designing and implementing remedial actions and monitoring those actions to determine whether they appropriately address the identified deficiency. Remediation may also involve addressing the specific engagement, for example, when the identified deficiency indicates that the engagement report is inappropriate. Communication of the results of monitoring and remediation within the firm also forms part of the firm's remedial actions, since personnel often need to be aware of the results in order to fulfill their roles and responsibilities.
- 17. This component also includes the responsibilities of the individual(s) assigned ultimate responsibility and accountability for the system of quality management to determine whether

the system of quality management provides reasonable assurance that the objectives stated in paragraph 18(a) and (b) have been achieved.

Interrelationship of the Components

- 18. The firm's risk assessment process sets out the process the firm is required to follow in implementing the risk-based approach to quality management, and in doing so the firm is required to include the quality objectives and responses set out in each of the components of this ASQM.
- 19. The governance and leadership component is important to the design, implementation and operation of the other components of the system of quality management because it provides the basis for the system of quality management and also creates the environment in which the other components of the system of quality management operate.
- 20. Other components such as information and communication and resources have quality objectives that enable the design, implementation and operation of the system of quality management, and therefore such components may include responses that affect or relate to the other components of the system of quality management. For example, the information and communication component contains the information system that provides the information needed for the operation of the other components or the resources component addresses the establishment of human resources that are needed to operate the various aspects of the system of quality management. There may be interrelationships within the components as well, for example, human resources are needed for the development of intellectual resources.
- 21. There are also relationships between components because there are matters that relate to each other, for example, aspects of the relevant ethical requirements component may be relevant when accepting and continuing client relationships and specific engagements.
- 22. The monitoring and remediation process monitors the entire system of quality management, and therefore the monitoring