

EXPOSURE DRAFT

ED 3/05
(August 2005)

Proposed Auditing Standard: Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)

Prepared and Issued by the **Auditing and Assurance Standards Board**



Australian Government

Auditing and Assurance Standards Board

Commenting on this Exposure Draft

Comments on this Exposure Draft should be forwarded so as to arrive by 14 October 2005. Comments should be addressed to:

The Chairman
Auditing and Assurance Standards Board
PO Box 204
Collins Street West
Melbourne Victoria 8007
AUSTRALIA
E-mail: edcomments@auasb.gov.au

A copy of all non-confidential submissions will be placed on public record on the AUASB website: www.auasb.gov.au

Obtaining a Copy of this Exposure Draft

This Exposure Draft is available on the AUASB website: www.auasb.gov.au. Alternatively, any individual or organisation may obtain one printed copy of this Exposure Draft without charge until 14 October 2005 by contacting:

Auditing and Assurance Standards Board Phone: (03) 8080 7400
Level 4 Fax: (03) 8080 7450
530 Collins Street E-mail: enquiries@auasb.gov.au
Melbourne Victoria 3000
AUSTRALIA

Postal Address:
PO Box 204
Collins Street West
Melbourne Victoria 8007
AUSTRALIA

COPYRIGHT

© 2005 Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB). The text, graphic and layout of this Exposure Draft are protected by Australian copyright law and the comparable law of other countries. The Exposure Draft may be reproduced in print for the sole purpose of preparing a written submission to the AUASB in respect of the Exposure Draft. Otherwise, no part of the Exposure Draft may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the AUASB except as permitted by law.

ISSN 1030-603X

CONTENTS

PREFACE

AUTHORITY STATEMENT

	<i>Paragraphs</i>
Application.....	1- 2
Operative Date	3
Introduction.....	4- 5
Concept of Audit Evidence	6- 9
Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence.....	10- 18
The Use of Assertions in Obtaining Audit Evidence	19- 23
Audit Procedures for Obtaining Audit Evidence	24- 30
<i>Inspection of Records or Documents</i>	31- 32
<i>Inspection of Tangible Assets</i>	33
<i>Observation</i>	34
<i>Inquiry</i>	35- 39
<i>Confirmation</i>	40
<i>Recalculation</i>	41
<i>Reperformance</i>	42
<i>Analytical Procedures</i>	43
Conformity with International Standards on Auditing.....	44

PREFACE

Reasons for Issuing ED 3/05

The Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (AUASB) is proposing to re-issue Auditing Standard (AUS 502) *Audit Evidence* due to the requirements of the legislative provisions explained below.

The *Corporate Law Economic Reform Program (Audit Reform and Corporate Disclosure) Act 2004* (the CLERP 9 Act) established the AUASB as an independent statutory body under section 227A of the *Australian Securities and Investments Commission Act 2001*, as from 1 July 2004. Under section 336 of the *Corporations Act 2001*, the AUASB may make Auditing Standards for the purposes of the corporations legislation. These Auditing Standards will be legislative instruments under the *Legislative Instruments Act 2003*.

Main Proposals

This proposed Auditing Standard:

- (a) provides guidance on what constitutes audit evidence;
- (b) requires the auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate evidence;
- (c) requires the auditor to use assertions in obtaining audit evidence;
and
- (d) provides guidance on audit procedures for obtaining audit evidence.

Proposed Operative Date

It is intended that this proposed Auditing Standard will be operative for financial reporting periods commencing on or after 1 July 2006.

Main changes from existing AUS 502 (February 2004)

The main differences between this proposed Auditing Standard and the Auditing Standard issued by the former Auditing & Assurance Standards Board of the Australian Accounting Research Foundation that it supersedes, AUS 502 *Audit Evidence* (February 2004), is that in this proposed Auditing Standard:

1. the word 'shall', in the '**bold-type**' paragraphs, is the terminology used to describe an auditor's mandatory requirements, whereas an auditor's degree of responsibility was previously described by the word 'should'; and
2. the explanatory paragraphs provide guidance and illustrative examples to assist the auditor in fulfilling the mandatory requirements, whereas previously some obligations were implied within certain explanatory paragraphs. Accordingly, such paragraphs have been redrafted to clarify that the matter forms part of the explanatory guidance.

A Table of Proposed Changes is provided as an attachment to the Exposure Draft.

Request for Comments

Comments are invited on this Exposure Draft of the proposed re-issuance of Auditing Standard (AUS 502) *Audit Evidence* by 14 October 2005. The AUASB would prefer that respondents express a clear overall opinion on whether the proposed Auditing Standard, as a whole, is supported and that this opinion be supplemented by detailed comments, whether supportive or critical, on any matter. The AUASB regards both critical and supportive comments as essential to a balanced review of the proposed Auditing Standard.

AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Auditing Standard << >> *Audit Evidence* is set out in paragraphs 1 to 44.

The requirements of this Auditing Standard set out in **bold-type** paragraphs are mandatory. These requirements are to be applied by auditors in conjunction with the requirements of other applicable Auditing Standards.

The explanatory paragraphs in this Auditing Standard provide guidance and illustrative examples to assist auditors in the application of the mandatory requirements.

AUDITING STANDARD

Audit Evidence

Application

- 1 This Auditing Standard applies to:
 - (a) **an audit of a financial report for a financial year, or an audit of a financial report for a half-year, in accordance with Part 2M.3 of the *Corporations Act 2001*; and**
 - (b) **an audit of a financial report for any other purpose.**
- 2 This Auditing Standard also applies, as appropriate, to an audit of other financial information.

Operative Date

- 3 **This Auditing Standard is operative for financial reporting periods commencing on or after 1 July 2006.**

Introduction

- 4 The purpose of this Auditing Standard is to establish standards and to provide guidance on what constitutes audit evidence in an audit of a financial report, the quantity and quality of audit evidence to be obtained, and the audit procedures that auditors use for obtaining that audit evidence.
- 5 **The auditor shall obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to be able to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion.**

Concept of Audit Evidence

- 6 “Audit evidence” is all the information used by the auditor in arriving at the conclusions on which the audit opinion is based, and includes the information contained in the accounting records underlying the financial report and other information. Auditors are not expected to address all information that may exist¹. Audit evidence, which is cumulative in nature, includes audit evidence obtained from audit procedures performed during the course of the

¹ See paragraph 18

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

audit and may include audit evidence obtained from other sources such as previous audits and a firm's quality control procedures for client acceptance and continuance.

- 7 Accounting records generally include the records of initial entries and supporting records, such as cheques and records of electronic fund transfers; invoices; contracts; the general and subsidiary ledgers, journal entries and other adjustments to the financial report that are not reflected in formal journal entries; and records such as work sheets and spreadsheets supporting cost allocations, computations, reconciliations and disclosures. The entries in the accounting records are often initiated, recorded, processed and reported in electronic form. In addition, the accounting records may be part of integrated systems that share data and support all aspects of the entity's financial reporting, operations and compliance objectives.
- 8 Management is responsible for the preparation of the financial report based upon the accounting records of the entity. The auditor ordinarily obtains some audit evidence by testing the accounting records, for example, through analysis and review, reperforming procedures followed in the financial reporting process, and reconciling related types and applications of the same information. Through the performance of such audit procedures, the auditor may determine that the accounting records are internally consistent and agree to the financial report. However, because accounting records alone do not provide sufficient audit evidence on which to base an audit opinion on the financial report, the auditor, pursuant to paragraph 5 of this standard, is required to obtain other audit evidence.
- 9 Other information that the auditor may use as audit evidence includes minutes of meetings; confirmations from third parties; analysts' reports; comparable data about competitors (benchmarking); controls manuals; information obtained by the auditor from such audit procedures as inquiry, observation, and inspection; and other information developed by, or available to, the auditor that permits the auditor to reach conclusions through valid reasoning.

Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence

- 10 Sufficiency is the measure of the quantity of audit evidence. Appropriateness is the measure of the quality of audit evidence; that is, its relevance and its reliability in providing support for, or detecting misstatements in, the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures and related assertions. The quantity of

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

audit evidence needed is affected by the risk of misstatement (the greater the risk, the more audit evidence is likely to be required) and also by the quality of such audit evidence (the higher the quality, the less may be required). Accordingly, the sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence are interrelated. However, merely obtaining more audit evidence may not compensate for its poor quality.

- 11 A given set of audit procedures may provide audit evidence that is relevant to certain assertions, but not others. For example, inspection of records and documents related to the collection of receivables after the period end may provide audit evidence regarding both existence and valuation, although not necessarily the appropriateness of period-end cut-offs. On the other hand, the auditor ordinarily obtains audit evidence from different sources or of a different nature that is relevant to the same assertion. For example, the auditor may analyse the aging of accounts receivable and the subsequent collection of receivables to obtain audit evidence relating to the valuation of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Furthermore, obtaining audit evidence relating to a particular assertion, for example, the physical existence of inventory, is not a substitute for obtaining audit evidence regarding another assertion, for example, the valuation of inventory.
- 12 The reliability of audit evidence is influenced by its source and by its nature and is dependent on the individual circumstances under which it is obtained. Generalisations about the reliability of various kinds of audit evidence can be made; however, such generalisations are subject to important exceptions. Even when audit evidence is obtained from sources external to the entity, circumstances may exist that could affect the reliability of the information obtained. For example, audit evidence obtained from an independent external source may not be reliable if the source is not knowledgeable. While recognising that exceptions may exist, the following generalisations about the reliability of audit evidence may be useful:
- Audit evidence is more reliable when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity.
 - Audit evidence that is generated internally is more reliable when the related controls imposed by the entity are effective.
 - Audit evidence obtained directly by the auditor (for example, observation of the application of a control) is more reliable than audit evidence obtained indirectly or by

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

inference (for example, inquiry about the application of a control).

- Audit evidence is more reliable when it exists in documentary form, whether paper, electronic, or other medium (for example, a contemporaneously written record of a meeting is more reliable than a subsequent oral representation of the matters discussed).
- Audit evidence provided by original documents is more reliable than audit evidence provided by photocopies or facsimiles.

13 An audit rarely involves the authentication of documentation, nor is the auditor trained as or expected to be an expert in such authentication. However, the auditor ordinarily considers the reliability of the information to be used as audit evidence, for example, photocopies, facsimiles, filmed, digitised or other electronic documents, including consideration of controls over their preparation and maintenance where relevant.

14 When information produced by the entity is used by the auditor to perform audit procedures, the auditor shall obtain audit evidence about the accuracy and completeness of the information.

15 In order for the auditor to obtain reliable audit evidence, the information upon which the audit procedures are based needs to be sufficiently complete and accurate. For example, in auditing revenue by applying standard prices to records of sales volume, the auditor considers the accuracy of the price information and the completeness and accuracy of the sales volume data. Obtaining audit evidence about the completeness and accuracy of the information produced by the entity's information system may be performed concurrently with the actual audit procedure applied to the information when obtaining such audit evidence is an integral part of the audit procedure itself. In other situations, the auditor may have obtained audit evidence of the accuracy and completeness of such information by testing controls over the production and maintenance of the information. However, in some situations the auditor may determine that additional audit procedures are needed. For example, these additional procedures may include using computer-assisted audit techniques (CAATs) to recalculate the information.

16 The auditor ordinarily obtains more assurance from consistent audit evidence obtained from different sources or of a different nature than from items of audit evidence considered individually. In

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

addition, obtaining audit evidence from different sources or of a different nature may indicate that an individual item of audit evidence is not reliable. For example, corroborating information obtained from a source independent of the entity may increase the assurance the auditor obtains from a management representation. Conversely, when audit evidence obtained from one source is inconsistent with that obtained from another, the auditor ordinarily determines what additional audit procedures are necessary to resolve the inconsistency.

- 17 The auditor ordinarily considers the relationship between the cost of obtaining audit evidence and the usefulness of the information obtained. However, the matter of difficulty or expense involved is not in itself a valid basis for omitting an audit procedure for which there is no alternative.
- 18 In forming the audit opinion, the auditor does not examine all the information available because conclusions ordinarily can be reached by using sampling approaches and other means of selecting items for testing. Also, the auditor ordinarily finds it necessary to rely on audit evidence that is persuasive rather than conclusive; however, to obtain reasonable assurance², the auditor is not satisfied with audit evidence that is less than persuasive. The auditor uses professional judgement and exercises professional scepticism in evaluating the quantity and quality of audit evidence, and thus its sufficiency and appropriateness, to support the audit opinion.

The Use of Assertions in Obtaining Audit Evidence

- 19 Management is responsible for the fair presentation of a financial report that reflects the nature and operations of the entity. In representing that the financial report gives a true and fair view (or is presented fairly, in all material respects) in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, management implicitly or explicitly makes assertions regarding the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of the various elements of a financial report and related disclosures.
- 20 The auditor shall use assertions for classes of transactions, account balances, and presentation and disclosures in sufficient detail to form a basis for the assessment of risks of material misstatement and the design and performance of further audit procedures.**

² AUS 202, "Objective and General Principles Governing an Audit of a Financial Report," provides discussion of reasonable assurance as it relates to an audit of a financial report.

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

- 21 Pursuant to paragraph 20 of this standard, the auditor is required to use assertions in assessing risks by considering the different types of potential misstatements that may occur, and thereby designing audit procedures that are responsive to the assessed risks. Other Auditing Standards discuss specific situations where the auditor is required to obtain audit evidence at the assertion level.
- 22 Assertions used by the auditor fall into the following categories:
- (a) Assertions about classes of transactions and events for the period under audit:
 - (i) *Occurrence* - transactions and events that have been recorded have occurred and pertain to the entity.
 - (ii) *Completeness* - all transactions and events that should have been recorded have been recorded.
 - (iii) *Accuracy* - amounts and other data relating to recorded transactions and events have been recorded appropriately.
 - (iv) *Cut-off* - transactions and events have been recorded in the correct accounting period.
 - (v) *Classification* - transactions and events have been recorded in the proper accounts.
 - (b) Assertions about account balances at the period end:
 - (i) *Existence* - assets, liabilities, and equity interests exist.
 - (ii) *Rights and obligations* - the entity holds or controls the rights to assets, and liabilities are the obligations of the entity.
 - (iii) *Completeness* - all assets, liabilities and equity interests that should have been recorded have been recorded.
 - (iv) *Valuation and allocation* - assets, liabilities, and equity interests are included in the financial report at appropriate amounts and any resulting valuation or allocation adjustments are appropriately recorded.

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

- (c) Assertions about presentation and disclosure:
 - (i) *Occurrence and rights and obligations* - disclosed events, transactions, and other matters have occurred and pertain to the entity.
 - (ii) *Completeness* - all disclosures that should have been included in the financial report have been included.
 - (iii) *Classification and understandability* - financial information is appropriately presented and described, and disclosures are clearly expressed.
 - (iv) *Accuracy and valuation* - financial and other information are disclosed fairly and at appropriate amounts.
- 23 The auditor may use the assertions as described above or may express them differently provided all aspects described above have been covered. For example, the auditor may choose to combine the assertions about transactions and events with the assertions about account balances. As another example, there may not be a separate assertion related to cut-off of transactions and events when the occurrence and completeness assertions include appropriate consideration of recording transactions in the correct accounting period.

Audit Procedures for Obtaining Audit Evidence

- 24 In accordance with paragraph 5 of this standard, the auditor is required to obtain audit evidence to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the audit opinion. Pursuant to AUS 402, “Understanding the Entity and its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement”, and AUS 406, “The Auditor’s Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks”, the auditor is required to perform audit procedures to:
- (a) obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, including its internal control, to assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial report and assertion levels (audit procedures performed for this purpose are referred to in the Auditing Standards as “risk assessment procedures”);
 - (b) when necessary or when the auditor has determined to do so, test the operating effectiveness of controls in preventing, or detecting and correcting, material

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

- misstatements at the assertion level (audit procedures performed for this purpose are referred to in the Auditing Standards as “tests of controls”); and
- (c) detect material misstatements at the assertion level (audit procedures performed for this purpose are referred to in the Auditing Standards as “substantive procedures” and include tests of details of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures and substantive analytical procedures).
- 25 Pursuant to AUS 402, the auditor is required to perform risk assessment procedures to provide a satisfactory basis for the assessment of risks at the financial report and assertion levels. Risk assessment procedures by themselves do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the audit opinion, however, and are supplemented by further audit procedures in the form of tests of controls, when necessary, and substantive procedures.
- 26 Tests of controls are necessary in two circumstances. When the auditor’s risk assessment includes an expectation of the operating effectiveness of controls, pursuant to AUS 406, the auditor is required to test those controls to support the risk assessment. In addition, when substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence, pursuant to AUS 406, the auditor is required to perform tests of controls to obtain audit evidence about their operating effectiveness.
- 27 Pursuant to AUS 406, the auditor is required to plan and perform substantive procedures to be responsive to the related assessment of the risks of material misstatement, which includes the results of tests of controls, if any. The auditor’s risk assessment is judgemental, however, and may not be sufficiently precise to identify all risks of material misstatement. Further, there are inherent limitations to internal control, including the risk of management override, the possibility of human error and the effect of systems changes. Therefore, substantive procedures for material classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures are always required to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.
- 28 The auditor ordinarily uses one or more types of audit procedures described in paragraphs 31 to 43 below. These audit procedures, or combinations thereof, may be used as risk assessment procedures, tests of controls or substantive procedures, depending on the context in which they are applied by the auditor. In certain circumstances, audit evidence obtained from previous audits may provide audit

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

evidence where the auditor, pursuant to AUS 406, has performed audit procedures to establish its continuing relevance.

- 29 The nature and timing of the audit procedures to be used may be affected by the fact that some of the accounting data and other information may be available only in electronic form or only at certain points or periods in time. Source documents, such as purchase orders, bills of lading, invoices, and cheques, may be replaced with electronic messages. For example, entities may use electronic commerce or image processing systems. In electronic commerce, the entity and its customers or suppliers use connected computers over a public network, such as the Internet, to transact business electronically. Purchase, shipping, billing, cash receipt, and cash disbursement transactions are often consummated entirely by the exchange of electronic messages between the parties. In image processing systems, documents are scanned and converted into electronic images to facilitate storage and reference, and the source documents may not be retained after conversion. Certain electronic information may exist at a certain point in time. However, such information may not be retrievable after a specified period of time if files are changed and if backup files do not exist. An entity's data retention policies may require the auditor to request retention of some information for the auditor's review or to perform audit procedures at a time when the information is available.
- 30 When the information is in electronic form, the auditor may carry out certain of the audit procedures described below through CAATs.

Inspection of Records or Documents

- 31 Inspection consists of examining records or documents, whether internal or external, in paper form, electronic form, or other media. Inspection of records and documents provides audit evidence of varying degrees of reliability, depending on their nature and source and, in the case of internal records and documents, on the effectiveness of the controls over their production. An example of inspection used as a test of controls is inspection of records or documents for evidence of authorisation.
- 32 Some documents represent direct audit evidence of the existence of an asset, for example, a document constituting a financial instrument such as a stock or bond. Inspection of such documents may not necessarily provide audit evidence about ownership or value. In addition, inspecting an executed contract may provide audit evidence relevant to the entity's application of accounting policies, such as revenue recognition.

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

Inspection of Tangible Assets

- 33 Inspection of tangible assets consists of physical examination of the assets. Inspection of tangible assets may provide reliable audit evidence with respect to their existence, but not necessarily about the entity's rights and obligations or the valuation of the assets. Inspection of individual inventory items ordinarily accompanies the observation of inventory counting.

Observation

- 34 Observation consists of looking at a process or procedure being performed by others. Examples include observation of the counting of inventories by the entity's personnel and observation of the performance of control activities. Observation provides audit evidence about the performance of a process or procedure, but is limited to the point in time at which the observation takes place and by the fact that the act of being observed may affect how the process or procedure is performed. See AUS 506, "Existence and Valuation of Inventory", for further guidance on observation of the counting of inventory.

Inquiry

- 35 Inquiry consists of seeking information of knowledgeable persons, both financial and non-financial, throughout the entity or outside the entity. Inquiry is an audit procedure that is used extensively throughout the audit and often is complementary to performing other audit procedures. Inquiries may range from formal written inquiries to informal oral inquiries. Evaluating responses to inquiries is an integral part of the inquiry process.
- 36 Responses to inquiries may provide the auditor with information not previously possessed or with corroborative audit evidence. Alternatively, responses might provide information that differs significantly from other information that the auditor has obtained, for example, information regarding the possibility of management override of controls. In some cases, responses to inquiries provide a basis for the auditor to modify or perform additional audit procedures.
- 37 Ordinarily, the auditor performs audit procedures in addition to the use of inquiry to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Inquiry alone ordinarily does not provide sufficient audit evidence to detect a material misstatement at the assertion level. Moreover, inquiry alone is not ordinarily sufficient to test the operating effectiveness of controls.

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

- 38 Although corroboration of evidence obtained through inquiry is often of particular importance, in the case of inquiries about management intent, the information available to support management's intent may be limited. In these cases, understanding management's past history of carrying out its stated intentions with respect to assets or liabilities, management's stated reasons for choosing a particular course of action, and management's ability to pursue a specific course of action may provide relevant information about management's intent.
- 39 In respect of some matters, the auditor obtains written representations from management to confirm responses to oral inquiries. For example, the auditor obtains written representations from management on material matters when other sufficient appropriate audit evidence cannot reasonably be expected to exist or when the other audit evidence obtained is of a lower quality. See AUS 520, "Management Representations", for further guidance on written representations.

Confirmation

- 40 Confirmation, which is a specific type of inquiry, is the process of obtaining a representation of information or of an existing condition directly from a third party. For example, the auditor may seek direct confirmation of receivables by communication with debtors. Confirmations are frequently used in relation to account balances and their components, but need not be restricted to these items. For example, the auditor may request confirmation of the terms of agreements or transactions an entity has with third parties; the confirmation request is designed to ask if any modifications have been made to the agreement and, if so, what the relevant details are. Confirmations also are used to obtain audit evidence about the absence of certain conditions, for example, the absence of a "side agreement" that may influence revenue recognition. See AUS 504, "External Confirmations", for further guidance on confirmations.

Recalculation

- 41 Recalculation consists of checking the mathematical accuracy of documents or records. Recalculation can be performed through the use of information technology, for example, by obtaining an electronic file from the entity and using CAATs to check the accuracy of the summarisation of the file.

Proposed Auditing Standard: *Audit Evidence (Re-issuance of AUS 502)*

Reperformance

- 42 Reperformance is the auditor's independent execution of procedures or controls that were originally performed as part of the entity's internal control, either manually or through the use of CAATs, for example, reperforming the aging of accounts receivable.

Analytical Procedures

- 43 Analytical procedures consist of evaluations of financial information made by a study of plausible relationships among both financial and non-financial data. Analytical procedures also encompass the investigation of identified fluctuations and relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or deviate significantly from predicted amounts. See AUS 512, "Analytical Procedures," for further guidance on analytical procedures.

Conformity with International Standards on Auditing

- 44 This Auditing Standard conforms with International Standard on Auditing ISA 500, "Audit Evidence", issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board of the International Federation of Accountants.

Compliance with this Auditing Standard enables compliance with ISA 500.

Table of Proposed Changes from AUS 502 <i>Audit Evidence</i>

Paragraph No. in Proposed Exposure Draft	Status (Requirement, Guidance, Footnote or Appendix)	Description of Proposed Change(s)
1, 2	Requirement & Guidance	New Application paragraphs
3	Requirement	Re-position Operative Date paragraph
5, 14, 20	Requirement	Replace “should’ with “shall”.
8, 11, 13, 16, 17, 28, 37	Guidance	Insert “ordinarily” to clarify implied obligations.
24	Guidance	Insert “in accordance with...the auditor is required to...” to clarify implied obligations.
8, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27	Guidance	Insert “pursuant to...the auditor is required to...” to clarify implied obligations.
6	Footnote	Insert footnote reference
18	Footnote	Delete paragraph numbers in the footnote cross-reference
28	Guidance	Insert the phrase ‘pursuant to AUS 406, has performed’
N/A	Former Appendix	Delete Public Sector Perspective as proposed Auditing Standard will be sector-neutral